



EUROPEAN MEDICINES AGENCY
SCIENCE MEDICINES HEALTH

EMA/95098/2010 Rev.9

The European Network of Centres for Pharmacoepidemiology and Pharmacovigilance (ENCePP) Guide on Methodological Standards in Pharmacoepidemiology (Revision 9)

References

The hyperlinks in the document were last accessed online in June 2021.

Chapter 1 – Introduction

A. Hernán, M., & James, M. R. (2020). Causal Inference: What If. Chapman & Hall.

Ali A, Hartzema A. (2018). Post-Authorization Safety Studies of Medicinal Products. The PASS Book (1st ed.). Academic Press.

Altman, D. (2020). Practical Statistics for Medical Research. 2nd: Chapman & Hall.

Andrews AB, Moore N. (2014). Mann's Pharmacovigilance (3rd ed.). Wiley Blackwell.

Cave, A., Kurz, X., & Arlett, P. (2019). Real-World Data for Regulatory Decision Making: Challenges and Possible Solutions for Europe. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 106(1), 36–39.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/cpt.1426>

Directive 2001/83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 November 2001. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CONSLEG:2001L0083:20070126:en:PDF>

Elseviers M, Wettermark B, Almarsdóttir AB, et al. (2016). Drug Utilization Research: Methods and Applications. Wiley Blackwell.

FDA's Real-World Evidence website. FDA. <https://www.fda.gov/science-research/science-and-research-special-topics/real-world-evidence>

Good pharmacovigilance practices. European Medicines Agency.

<https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/post-authorisation/pharmacovigilance/good-pharmacovigilance-practices>

Hartzema AG, Tilson HH, Chan KA. (2008). Pharmacoepidemiology and Therapeutic Risk Management (3rd ed.). Harvey Whitney Books Company.

Official address Domenico Scarlattilaan 6 • 1083 HS Amsterdam • The Netherlands

Address for visits and deliveries Refer to www.ema.europa.eu/how-to-find-us

Send us a question Go to www.ema.europa.eu/contact **Telephone** +31 (0)88 781 6000

An agency of the European Union



Hoffmann, W., Latza, U., Baumeister, S. E., Brünger, M., Buttmann-Schweiger, N., Hardt, J., et al. (2019). Guidelines and recommendations for ensuring Good Epidemiological Practice (GEP): a guideline developed by the German Society for Epidemiology. *European Journal of Epidemiology*, 34(3), 301–317. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-019-00500-x>

IEA Good Epidemiology Practices (GEP). (2007). IEA Publications. https://ieaweb.org/IEAWeb/Content/IEA_Publications.aspx

ISPE Guidelines for Good Pharmacoepidemiology Practices (GPP). (n.d.). International Society for Pharmacoepidemiology. <https://www.pharmacoepi.org/resources/policies/guidelines-08027/>

Miettinen, O. S. (1985). *Theoretical Epidemiology: Principles of Occurrence Research in Medicines*. Wiley.

Parfrey PS, Barret BJ. (2015). *Clinical epidemiology: practice and methods* (2nd ed.). Human Press.

Porta M, Last JM, Greenland. (2014). *A Dictionary of Epidemiology* (6th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Rothman K, Greenland S, Lash T. (2008). *Modern Epidemiology* (3th ed.). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Scientific guidance on post-authorisation efficacy studies - EMA. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/scientific-guideline/scientific-guidance-post-authorisation-efficacy-studies-first-version_en.pdf

Strom B, Kimmel SE, Hennessy S. (2019). *Pharmacoepidemiology* (6th ed.). Wiley.

Woodward, M. (2014). *Epidemiology: Study Design and Data Analysis* (3rd ed.). Chapman & Hall.

Chapter 2 - Formulating the research question and objectives

Brown, P., Brunnhuber, K., Chalkidou, K., Chalmers, I., Clarke, M., Fenton, M., et al. (2006). How to formulate research recommendations. *BMJ : British Medical Journal*, 333(7572), 804–806. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38987.492014.94>

Criteria to select and prioritize health technologies for additional evidence generation - EUnetHTA. <https://eunetha.eu/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/Selection-prioritisation-criteria-1.pdf>. Accessed 4 May 2021

European Medicines Agency. European Medicines Agency. <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en>

Good pharmacovigilance practices. (2018, September 17). European Medicines Agency. <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/post-authorisation/pharmacovigilance/good-pharmacovigilance-practices>

ISPE Guidelines for Good Pharmacoepidemiology Practices (GPP) - International Society for Pharmacoepidemiology. <https://www.pharmacoepi.org/resources/policies/guidelines-08027/>

Kloda, L., & Bartlett, J. C. (2013). Formulating Answerable Questions: Question Negotiation in Evidence-based Practice. *Journal of the Canadian Health Libraries Association / Journal de l'Association des bibliothèques de la santé du Canada*, 34(2), 55–60. <https://doi.org/10.5596/c13-019>

Lipowski, E. E. (2008). Developing great research questions. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy*, 65(17), 1667–1670. <https://doi.org/10.2146/ajhp070276>

Owen Doody, M. E. B. (2014). Setting a research question, aim and objective. <https://doi.org/10.7748/nr.23.4.19.s5>

Position paper on how to best formulate research recommendations - EUnetHTA.

https://eunetha.eu/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/eunetha_position_paper_on_research_recommendations_0-1.pdf

Ritchey, M. E., & Girman, C. J. (2020). Evaluating the Feasibility of Electronic Health Records and Claims Data Sources for Specific Research Purposes. *Therapeutic Innovation & Regulatory Science*, 54(6), 1296–1302. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43441-020-00139-x>

Rivera, D. R., Gokhale, M. N., Reynolds, M. W., Andrews, E. B., Chun, D., Haynes, K., et al. (2020). Linking electronic health data in pharmacoepidemiology: Appropriateness and feasibility. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 29(1), 18–29. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4918>

Thabane, L., Thomas, T., Ye, C., & Paul, J. (2009). Posing the research question: not so simple. *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia/Journal canadien d'anesthésie*, 56(1), 71–79. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-008-9007-4>

There's a S.M.A.R.T. way to write management's goals and objectives. (n.d.). <https://community.mis.temple.edu/mis0855002fall2015/files/2015/10/S.M.A.R.T-Way-Management-Review.pdf>

Tully, M. P. (2014). Research: Articulating Questions, Generating Hypotheses, and Choosing Study Designs. *Canadian Journal of Hospital Pharmacy*, 67(1). <https://doi.org/10.4212/cjhp.v67i1.1320>

Willame, C., Baril, L., van den Bosch, J., Ferreira, G. L. C., Williams, R., Rosillon, D., & Cohet, C. (2016). Importance of feasibility assessments before implementing non-interventional pharmacoepidemiologic studies of vaccines: lessons learned and recommendations for future studies. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 25(12), 1397–1406. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4081>

Chapter 3 – Development of the study protocol

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). <https://www.ahrq.gov/>. Accessed 27 May 2021

Best Practices for Conducting and Reporting Pharmacoepidemiologic Safety Studies Using Electronic Healthcare Data Sets. FDA. <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/best-practices-conducting-and-reporting-pharmacoepidemiologic-safety-studies-using-electronic>

ClinicalTrials.gov. ClinicalTrials.gov. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/>

Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 520/2012. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg_impl/2012/520/oj

Volume 10 of the Rules Governing Medicinal Products in the European Union. Public Health - European Commission. Text. https://ec.europa.eu/health/documents/eudralex/vol-10_en

Developing a Protocol for Observational Comparative Effectiveness Research: A User's Guide | Effective Health Care Program. <https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/products/observational-cer-protocol/research> Accessed 27 May 2021

Directive 2001/20/EC. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2001:121:0034:0044:en:PDF>

ENCePP Checklist for Study Protocols. ENCePP. http://www.encepp.eu/standards_and_guidances/checkListProtocols.shtml

EU PAS Register. ENCePP. <http://www.encepp.eu/encepp/studiesDatabase.jsp>

Good pharmacovigilance practices. European Medicines Agency.

<https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/post-authorisation/pharmacovigilance/good-pharmacovigilance-practices>

Guidance for the format and content of the final study report of non-interventional post-authorisation safety studies - EMA. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/regulatory-procedural-guideline/guidance-format-content-final-study-report-non-interventional-post-authorisation-safety-studies_en.pdf

Guidance for the format and content of the protocol of non-interventional post-authorisation safety studies - EMA. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/other/guidance-format-content-protocol-non-interventional-post-authorisation-safety-studies_en.pdf

ISPE Guidelines for Good Pharmacoepidemiology Practices (GPP) - International Society for Pharmacoepidemiology. <https://www.pharmacoepi.org/resources/policies/guidelines-08027/>

Open Science Forum. Open Science Forum. <https://osf.io/>

Schneeweiss, S., Rassen, J. A., Brown, J. S., Rothman, K. J., Happe, L., Arlett, P., et al. (2019). Graphical Depiction of Longitudinal Study Designs in Health Care Databases. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 170(6), 398–406. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M18-3079>

Chapter 4 – Approaches to data collection

Good pharmacovigilance practices (GPP). European Medicines Agency.

<https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/post-authorisation/pharmacovigilance/good-pharmacovigilance-practices> Accessed 4 May 2021

4.1. Primary data collection

Abenhaim, L., Moride, Y., Brenot, F., Rich, S., Benichou, J., Kurz, X., et al. (1996). Appetite-Suppressant Drugs and the Risk of Primary Pulmonary Hypertension. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 335(9), 609–616. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199608293350901>

Artime, E., Qizilbash, N., Garrido-Estepa, M., Vora, P., Soriano-Gabarró, M., Asimwe, A., & Pocock, S. (2019). Are risk minimization measures for approved drugs in Europe effective? A systematic review. *Expert Opinion on Drug Safety*, 18(5), 443–454. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14740338.2019.1612875>

Artime, E., Qizilbash, N., Herruzo, R., & Garrido-Estepa, M. (2020). Risk Minimisation Evaluation with Process Indicators and Behavioural or Health Outcomes in Europe: Systematic Review. *Pharmaceutical Medicine*, 34(6), 387–400. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40290-020-00361-w>

Check, J., & K. Schutt, R. (2011). *Research Methods in Education*. Sage Publications.

Clinical trials - Regulation EU No 536/2014. Public Health - European Commission. https://ec.europa.eu/health/human-use/clinical-trials/regulation_en

EudraLex - Volume 10 - Clinical trials guidelines. Public Health - European Commission. https://ec.europa.eu/health/documents/eudralex/vol-10_en

Fayers PM, Machin D. (2007). *Quality of Life: the assessment, analysis and interpretation of patient-related outcomes* (2nd ed.). Wiley.

Fischer, F., & Kleen, S. (2021). Possibilities, Problems, and Perspectives of Data Collection by Mobile Apps in Longitudinal Epidemiological Studies: Scoping Review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 23(1), e17691. <https://doi.org/10.2196/17691>

Good pharmacovigilance practices (GPP). (2018, September 17). European Medicines Agency. <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/post-authorisation/pharmacovigilance/good-pharmacovigilance-practices> Accessed 4 May 2021

Groves RM, Fowler FJ, Couper MP et al. (2009). Survey Methodology (2nd ed.). Wiley.

Guideline on good pharmacovigilance practices (GVP) XVI - EMA. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/regulatory-procedural-guideline/guideline-good-pharmacovigilance-practices-gvp-module-xvi-risk-minimisation-measures-selection-tools_en.pdf. Accessed 27 May 2021

ICH E6 (R2) Good clinical practice. European Medicines Agency. Text. <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/ich-e6-r2-good-clinical-practice> Accessed 27 May 2021

Kaufman, D. W., Rosenberg, L., & Mitchell, A. A. (2001). Signal generation and clarification: use of case-control data. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 10(3), 197–203. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.571>

Kish L. (1995). Survey Sampling. Wiley.

REMS Assessment: Planning and Reporting Guidance for Industry - FDA. <https://www.fda.gov/media/119790/download>

Research, C. for D. E. and. (2020, May 5). Survey Methodologies to Assess REMS Goals That Relate to Knowledge. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/survey-methodologies-assess-rems-goals-relate-knowledge>

Roujeau, J.-C., Kelly, J. P., Naldi, L., Rzany, B., Stern, R. S., Anderson, T., et al. (1995). Medication Use and the Risk of Stevens–Johnson Syndrome or Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 333(24), 1600–1608. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199512143332404>

Shapiro, S. (1983). The design of a study of the drug etiology of agranulocytosis and aplastic anemia. *European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 24(6), 833–836. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00607096>

Smith, M. Y., Russell, A., Bahri, P., Mol, P. G. M., Frise, S., Freeman, E., & Morrato, E. H. (2018). The RIMES Statement: A Checklist to Assess the Quality of Studies Evaluating Risk Minimization Programs for Medicinal Products. *Drug Safety*, 41(4), 389–401. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-017-0619-x>

Standard Definitions Final Dispositions of Case Codes and Outcome Rates for Surveys - AAPOR. https://www.aapor.org/AAPOR_Main/media/publications/Standard-Definitions20169theditionfinal.pdf. Accessed 4 June 2020

4.2. Secondary use of data

Berger, M. L., Sox, H., Willke, R. J., Brixner, D. L., Eichler, H.-G., Goettsch, W., et al. (2017). Good Practices for Real-World Data Studies of Treatment and/or Comparative Effectiveness: Recommendations from the Joint ISPOR-ISPE Special Task Force on Real-World Evidence in Health Care Decision Making. *Value in Health*, 20(8), 1003–1008. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2017.08.3019>

Cave, A., Kurz, X., & Arlett, P. (2019). Real-World Data for Regulatory Decision Making: Challenges and Possible Solutions for Europe. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 106(1), 36–39. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpt.1426>

Commissioner, O. of the. (2020, November 24). FDA - Real World Evidence. FDA. <https://www.fda.gov/science-research/science-and-research-special-topics/real-world-evidence> Accessed 6 May 2021

ENCePP Resources Database. <http://www.encepp.eu/encepp/resourcesDatabase.jsp> Accessed 6 May 2021

FDA's Best Practices for Conducting and Reporting Pharmacoepidemiologic Safety Studies Using Electronic Healthcare Data Sets. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/best-practices-conducting-and-reporting-pharmacoepidemiologic-safety-studies-using-electronic>

Hall, Gc., Sauer, B., Bourke, A., Brown, Js., Reynolds, Mw., & LoCasale, R. (2012). Guidelines for good database selection and use in pharmacoepidemiology research. *Pharmacoepidemiology and drug safety*, 21(1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.2229>

ISPE Guidelines for Good Pharmacoepidemiology Practices (GPP). (n.d.). International Society for Pharmacoepidemiology. <https://www.pharmacoepi.org/resources/policies/guidelines-08027/>

Schneeweiss, S., & Avorn, J. (2005). A review of uses of health care utilization databases for epidemiologic research on therapeutics. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, 58(4). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2004.10.012>

Strom B, Kimmel SE, Hennessy S. (2019). *Pharmacoepidemiology* (6th ed.). Wiley.

4.3. Patient registries

CHMP Guideline on Conduct of Pharmacovigilance for Medicines Used by the Paediatric Population - EMA. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/scientific-guideline/draft-guideline-conduct-pharmacovigilance-medicines-used-paediatric-population_en.pdf

Draft Guideline on registry-based studies - EMA. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/scientific-guideline/guideline-registry-based-studies_en.pdf. Accessed 19 May 2021

Emilsson, L., Lindahl, B., Koster, M., Lambe, M., & Ludvigsson, Jf. (2015, January). Review of 103 Swedish Healthcare Quality Registries. *Journal of internal medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joim.12303>

ENCePP Resources Database. <http://www.encepp.eu/encepp/resourcesDatabase.jsp>

EUnetHTA Joint Action 3. EUnetHTA. <https://eunetha.eu/ja3-archive/>

EUROCAT. <http://www.eurocat-network.eu/>

European Platform on Rare Disease Registration. <https://eu-rd-platform.jrc.ec.europa.eu>

Furu, K., Kieler, H., Haglund, B., Engeland, A., Selmer, R., Stephansson, O., et al. (2015). Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and venlafaxine in early pregnancy and risk of birth defects: population based cohort study and sibling design. *BMJ*, 350. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h1798>

Good pharmacovigilance practices. European Medicines Agency. <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/post-authorisation/pharmacovigilance/good-pharmacovigilance-practices>

Karanatsios, B., Prang, K.-H., Verbunt, E., Yeung, J. M., Kelaher, M., & Gibbs, P. (2020). Defining key design elements of registry-based randomised controlled trials: a scoping review. *Trials*, 21(1), 552. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-020-04459-z>

Lauer, M. S., & D'Agostino, R. B. S. (2013, October 23). The Randomized Registry Trial — The Next Disruptive Technology in Clinical Research? <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1310102>. n-perspective. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1310102>

Li, G., Sajobi, T. T., Menon, B. K., Korngut, L., Lowerison, M., James, M., et al. (2016). Registry-based randomized controlled trials- what are the advantages, challenges, and areas for future research? *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 80, 16–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.08.003>

McGettigan, P., Olmo, C. A., Plueschke, K., Castillon, M., Zondag, D. N., Bahri, P., et al. (2019). Patient Registries: An Underused Resource for Medicines Evaluation. *Drug Safety*, 42(11), 1343–1351. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-019-00848-9>

Methodological guidelines and recommendations for efficient and rational governance of patient registries - PARENT.

https://ec.europa.eu/health/sites/default/files/ehealth/docs/patient_registries_guidelines_en.pdf

Norsk pasientregister (NPR). Helsedirektoratet. <https://www.helsedirektoratet.no/tema/statistikk-registre-og-rapporter/helsedata-og-helseregistre/norsk-pasientregister-npr>

Pacurariu, A., Plueschke, K., Olmo, C. A., & Kurz, X. (2018). Imposed registries within the European postmarketing surveillance system: Extended analysis and lessons learned for regulators.

Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety, 27(7), 823–826. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4449>

Patient registries. European Medicines Agency. <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/post-authorisation/patient-registries>

Pharmachild - PRINTO - Paediatric Rheumatology International Trials Organization.

<https://www.printo.it/projects/ongoing/15>

Qualification Opinion - The European Cystic Fibrosis Society Patient Registry (ECFSPP) - EMA.

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/regulatory-procedural-guideline/qualification-opinion-european-cystic-fibrosis-society-patient-registry-ecfspp_en.pdf

Qualification opinion on Cellular therapy module of the European Society for Blood & Marrow

Transplantation (EBMT) Registry - EMA. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/scientific-guideline/qualification-opinion-cellular-therapy-module-european-society-blood-marrow-transplantation-ebmt_en.pdf.

Registries for Evaluating Patient Outcomes: A User's Guide: 3rd Edition Addendums (Overview) | Effective Health Care Program. (2018). Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.

<https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/products/registries-guide-3rd-edition-addendum/overview>

REQueST Tool and its vision paper – EUnetHTA. EUnetHTA. <https://eunethta.eu/request-tool-and-its-vision-paper/>

Research, C. for D. E. and. (2020, May 15). Postapproval Pregnancy Safety Studies Guidance for

Industry. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/postapproval-pregnancy-safety-studies-guidance-industry>

Schmidt, M., Schmidt, S. A. J., Sandegaard, J. L., Ehrenstein, V., Pedersen, L., & Sørensen, H. T.

(2015, November 17). The Danish National Patient Registry: a review of content, data quality, and research potential. *Clinical Epidemiology*. <https://doi.org/10.2147/CLEP.S91125>

Scientific guidance on post-authorisation efficacy studies - EMA.

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/scientific-guideline/scientific-guidance-post-authorisation-efficacy-studies-first-version_en.pdf

Systematic overview of data sources for drug safety in pregnancy research Consultancy

EMA/2010/29/CN.

http://www.encepp.eu/structure/documents/Data_sources_for_medicines_in_pregnancy_research.pdf

The Swedish National Patient Register. Socialstyrelsen. <https://www.socialstyrelsen.se/en/statistics-and-data/registers/register-information/the-national-patient-register/>

UK Renal Registry | The Renal Association. <https://renal.org/about-us/who-we-are/uk-renal-registry>
Accessed 19 May 2021

4.4. Spontaneous reports

Al Dweik, R., Stacey, D., Kohen, D., & Yaya, S. (2017). Factors affecting patient reporting of adverse drug reactions: a systematic review. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 83(4), 875–883.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.13159>

Alvarez, Y., Hidalgo, A., Maignen, F., & Slattery, J. (2010). Validation of Statistical Signal Detection Procedures in EudraVigilance Post-Authorization Data. *Drug Safety*, 33(6), 475–487.
<https://doi.org/10.2165/11534410-000000000-00000>

EudraVigilance. European Medicines Agency. <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/research-development/pharmacovigilance/eudravigilance>

Aronson, J. K., & Hauben, M. (2006). Anecdotes that provide definitive evidence. *BMJ*, 333(7581), 1267–1269. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39036.666389.94>

Banovac, M., Candore, G., Slattery, J., Houyez, F., Haerry, D., Genov, G., & Arlett, P. (2017). Patient Reporting in the EU: Analysis of EudraVigilance Data. *Drug Safety*, 40(7), 629–645.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-017-0534-1>

Bate, A., Lindquist, M., & Edwards, I. r. (2008). The application of knowledge discovery in databases to post-marketing drug safety: example of the WHO database. *Fundamental & Clinical Pharmacology*, 22(2), 127–140. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-8206.2007.00552.x>

Characterization of databases (DB) used for signal detection (SD) - IMI PROTECT. <http://www.imi-protect.eu/documents/WisniewskietalCharacterisationofdatabasesusedorsignaldetectionposterfinalICPE2012.pdf> Accessed 9 June 2021

Detailed guide regarding the EudraVigilance data management activities by the European Medicines Agency - EMA. (n.d.). https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/other/detailed-guide-regarding-eudravigilance-data-management-activities-european-medicines-agency_en.pdf. Accessed 9 June 2021

Kreimeyer, K., Menschik, D., Winiacki, S., Paul, W., Barash, F., Woo, E. J., et al. (2017). Using Probabilistic Record Linkage of Structured and Unstructured Data to Identify Duplicate Cases in Spontaneous Adverse Event Reporting Systems. *Drug Safety*, 40(7), 571–582.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-017-0523-4>

Menni, C., Klaser, K., May, A., Polidori, L., Capdevila, J., Louca, P., et al. (2021). Vaccine side-effects and SARS-CoV-2 infection after vaccination in users of the COVID Symptom Study app in the UK: a prospective observational study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 0(0). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(21\)00224-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00224-3)

Pacurariu, A. C., Coloma, P. M., Haren, A. van, Genov, G., Sturkenboom, M. C. J. M., & Straus, S. M. J. M. (2014). A Description of Signals During the First 18 Months of the EMA Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee. *Drug Safety*, 37(12), 1059–1066. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-014-0240-1>

Postigo, R., Brosch, S., Slattery, J., Haren, A. van, Dogné, J.-M., Kurz, X., et al. (2018). EudraVigilance Medicines Safety Database: Publicly Accessible Data for Research and Public Health Protection. *Drug Safety*, 41(7), 665–675. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-018-0647-1>

Practical Aspects of Signal Detection in Pharmacovigilance: Report of CIOMS Working Group VIII • COUNCIL FOR INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS OF MEDICAL SCIENCES. (n.d.). COUNCIL FOR INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS OF MEDICAL SCIENCES.

<https://cioms.ch/publications/product/practical-aspects-of-signal-detection-in-pharmacovigilance-report-of-cioms-working-group-viii/>

Research, C. for D. E. and. (2019, May 22). Questions and Answers on FDA's Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS). FDA. <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/surveillance/questions-and-answers-fdas-adverse-event-reporting-system-faers>

The value of patient reporting to the pharmacovigilance system: a systematic review - Inácio - 2017 - British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology - Wiley Online Library.

<https://bpspubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/bcp.13098> Accessed 9 June 2021

Tregunno, Pm., Fink Db., Fernandez, C., Lazaro-Bengoa, E., & Noren Gn. (2014, April). Performance of probabilistic method to detect duplicate individual case safety reports. *Drug safety*.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-014-0146-y>

UMC | VigiBase. <https://www.who-umc.org/vigibase/vigibase/>

4.5 Social media

Audeh, B., Bellet, F., Beyens, M.-N., Louët, A. L.-L., & Bousquet, C. (2020). Use of Social Media for Pharmacovigilance Activities: Key Findings and Recommendations from the Vigi4Med Project. *Drug Safety*, 43(9), 835–851. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-020-00951-2>

Bahri, P. (2020). *Communicating about Risks and Safe Use of Medicines*. Adis Singapore.

Banerjee, A. K., & Ingate, S. (2012). Web-Based Patient-Reported Outcomes in Drug Safety and Risk Management. *Drug Safety*, 35(6), 437–446. <https://doi.org/10.2165/11632390-000000000-00000>

Bhattacharya, M., Snyder, S., Malin, M., Truffa, M. M., Marinic, S., Engelmann, R., & Raheja, R. R. (2017). Using Social Media Data in Routine Pharmacovigilance: A Pilot Study to Identify Safety Signals and Patient Perspectives. *Pharmaceutical Medicine*, 31(3), 167–174. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40290-017-0186-6>

Brosch, S., Ferran, A.-M. de, Newbould, V., Farkas, D., Lengsavath, M., & Tregunno, P. (2019). Establishing a Framework for the Use of Social Media in Pharmacovigilance in Europe. *Drug Safety*, 42(8), 921–930. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-019-00811-8>

Caster, O., Dietrich, J., Kürzinger, M.-L., Lerch, M., Maskell, S., Norén, G. N., et al. (2018). Assessment of the Utility of Social Media for Broad-Ranging Statistical Signal Detection in Pharmacovigilance: Results from the WEB-RADR Project. *Drug Safety*, 41(12), 1355–1369. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-018-0699-2>

Cocos, A., Fiks, A. G., & Masino, A. J. (2017). Deep learning for pharmacovigilance: recurrent neural network architectures for labeling adverse drug reactions in Twitter posts. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 24(4), 813–821. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocw180>

Coloma, P. M., Becker, B., Sturkenboom, M. C. J. M., van Mulligen, E. M., & Kors, J. A. (2015). Evaluating Social Media Networks in Medicines Safety Surveillance: Two Case Studies. *Drug Safety*, 38(10), 921–930. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-015-0333-5>

ENISA. Plone Site. <https://www.enisa.europa.eu>

European Commission's Digital Single Market Glossary. (n.d.). *Shaping Europe's digital future - European Commission*. Text. <https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/glossary>

Gattepaille, L. M., Vidlin, S. H., Bergvall, T., Pierce, C. E., & Ellenius, J. (2020). Prospective Evaluation of Adverse Event Recognition Systems in Twitter: Results from the Web-RADR Project. *Drug Safety*, 43(8), 797–808. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-020-00942-3>

General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) Compliance Guidelines. *GDPR.eu*. <https://gdpr.eu/>

Good pharmacovigilance practices. *European Medicines Agency*. <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/post-authorisation/pharmacovigilance/good-pharmacovigilance-practices>

Martin, S., Kilich, E., & Dada, S. (2020). “Vaccines for pregnant women...?! Absurd” – Mapping maternal vaccination discourse and stance on social media over six months. *Vaccine*, 38(42), 6627–6637. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.07.072>

Opinion 1/2015 Mobile Health-Reconciling technological innovation with data protection - EDPS. https://edps.europa.eu/sites/edp/files/publication/15-05-21_mhealth_en_0.pdf

Powell, G. E., Seifert, H. A., Reblin, T., Burstein, P. J., Blowers, J., Menius, J. A., et al. (2016). Social Media Listening for Routine Post-Marketing Safety Surveillance. *Drug Safety*, 39(5), 443–454. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-015-0385-6>

Sloane, R., Osanlou, O., Lewis, D., Bollegala, D., Maskell, S., & Pirmohamed, M. (2015). Social media and pharmacovigilance: A review of the opportunities and challenges. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 80(4), 910–920. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.12717>

Smartphone Secure Development Guidelines. *ENISA - European Union Agency for Cybersecurity*. Report/Study. <https://www.enisa.europa.eu/publications/smartphone-secure-development-guidelines-2016>

Stekelenborg, J. van, Ellenius, J., Maskell, S., Bergvall, T., Caster, O., Dasgupta, N., et al. (2019). Recommendations for the Use of Social Media in Pharmacovigilance: Lessons from IMI WEB-RADR. *Drug Safety*, 42(12), 1393–1407. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-019-00858-7>

4.6. Research networks for multi-database studies

ADVANCE. VAC4EU. <https://vac4eu.org/>

Arrhythmogenic potential of drugs | ARITMO Project | FP7 | CORDIS | European Commission. <https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/241679>

Background rates of Adverse Events of Special Interest for monitoring COVID-19 vaccines, an ACCESS study. <http://www.encepp.eu/encepp/viewResource.htm?id=40361>

Becker, B. F. H., Avillach, P., Romio, S., van Mulligen, E. M., Weibel, D., Sturkenboom, M. C. J. M., et al. (2017). CodeMapper: semiautomatic coding of case definitions. A contribution from the ADVANCE project. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 26(8), 998–1005. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4245>

Candore, G., Hedenmalm, K., Slattery, J., Cave, A., Kurz, X., & Arlett, P. (2020). Can We Rely on Results From IQVIA Medical Research Data UK Converted to the Observational Medical Outcome Partnership Common Data Model? *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, 107(4), 915–925. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpt.1785>

CNODES | Canadian Network for Observational Drug Effects Studies. <https://www.cnodes.ca/>

Comment devenir pharmacien ? - Sos Nsaids Project. <https://www.sos-nsaids-project.org/>

ConcePTION. <https://www.imi-conception.eu/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/ConcePTION-D7.5-Report-on-existing-common-data-models-and-proposals-for-ConcePTION.pdf> Accessed 9 June 2021

ConcePTION. <https://www.imi-conception.eu/>

CONSIGN-International. <http://www.encepp.eu/encepp/viewResource.htm?id=40318>

COVID-19 vaccine monitoring. VAC4EU. <https://vac4eu.org/covid-19-vaccine-monitoring/>

Dedman, D., Cabecinha, M., Williams, R., Evans, S. J. W., Bhaskaran, K., & Douglas, I. J. (2020). Approaches for combining primary care electronic health record data from multiple sources: a systematic review of observational studies. *BMJ Open*, 10(10). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-037405>

E-CORE - Systemic glucocorticoids in the treatment of COVID-19 and risks of adverse outcomes in COVID-19 patients in the primary and secondary care setting. <http://www.encepp.eu/encepp/viewResource.htm?id=39816>

EMIF. <http://www.emif.eu/>

ENCePP Resources Database. ENCePP. <http://www.encepp.eu/encepp/resourcesDatabase.jsp>

EUROmedICAT. <https://www.euromedicat.eu/whatiseuromedicat>

European Health Data Evidence Network (EHDEN). ehden.eu. <https://www.ehden.eu/>

Exploring and understanding adverse drug reactions by integrative mining of clinical records and biomedical knowledge | EU-ADR Project | FP7 | CORDIS | European Commission. <https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/215847>

Flynn, R., Hedenmalm, K., Murray-Thomas, T., Pacurariu, A., Arlett, P., Shepherd, H., et al. (2020). Ability of Primary Care Health Databases to Assess Medicinal Products Discussed by the European Union Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 107(4), 957–965. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpt.1775>

Gini, R., Schuemie, M., Brown, J., Ryan, P., Vacchi, E., Coppola, M., et al. (2016). Data Extraction And Management In Networks Of Observational Health Care Databases For Scientific Research: A Comparison Among EU-ADR, OMOP, Mini-Sentinel And MATRICE Strategies. *eGEMs (Generating Evidence & Methods to improve patient outcomes)*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.13063/2327-9214.1189>

Gini, R., Sturkenboom, M. C. J., Sultana, J., Cave, A., Landi, A., Pacurariu, A., et al. (2020). Different Strategies to Execute Multi-Database Studies for Medicines Surveillance in Real-World Setting: A Reflection on the European Model. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 108(2), 228–235. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpt.1833>

Monitoring of COVID-19 medicines. European Medicines Agency. <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/overview/public-health-threats/coronavirus-disease-covid-19/treatments-vaccines/monitoring-covid-19-medicines-0> Accessed 9 June 2021

Global Research in Paediatrics | GRIP Project | FP7 | CORDIS | European Commission. <https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/261060>

Improving Consistency and Understanding of Discrepancies of Findings from Pharmacoepidemiological Studies: the IMI PROTECT Project: Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety: Vol 25, No S1. (n.d.). Wiley Online Library. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/toc/10991557/25/S1>

Innovative Medicines Initiative. IMI Innovative Medicines Initiative. <http://www.imi.europa.eu/>

Izem, R., Huang, T.-Y., Hou, L., Pestine, E., Nguyen, M., & Maro, J. C. (2020). Quantifying how small variations in design elements affect risk in an incident cohort study in claims. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 29(1), 84–93. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4892>

Klungel, O. H., Kurz, X., de Groot, M. C. H., Schlienger, R. G., Tcherny-Lessenot, S., Grimaldi, L., et al. (2016). Multi-centre, multi-database studies with common protocols: lessons learnt from the IMI PROTECT project. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 25(S1), 156–165.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3968>

Lane, J. C. E., Weaver, J., Kostka, K., Duarte-Salles, T., Abrahao, M. T. F., Alghoul, H., et al. (2020). Risk of hydroxychloroquine alone and in combination with azithromycin in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis: a multinational, retrospective study. *The Lancet Rheumatology*, 2(11), e698–e711.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2665-9913\(20\)30276-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2665-9913(20)30276-9)

OHDSI – Observational Health Data Sciences and Informatics. <https://www.ohdsi.org/> Accessed 9 June 2021

OMOP Common Data Model – OHDSI. <https://www.ohdsi.org/data-standardization/the-common-data-model/>

Overhage, J. M., Ryan, P. B., Reich, C. G., Hartzema, A. G., & Stang, P. E. (2012). Validation of a common data model for active safety surveillance research. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA*, 19(1), 54–60. <https://doi.org/10.1136/amiajnl-2011-000376>

Petersen, I., McCrea, R. L., Sammon, C. J., Osborn, D. P., Evans, S. J., Cowen, P. J., et al. (2016). Risks and benefits of psychotropic medication in pregnancy: cohort studies based on UK electronic primary care health records. *Health Technology Assessment*, 20(23), 1–176.

<https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20230>

PROTECT. <http://www.imi-protect.eu/about.shtml>

Research, C. for D. E. and. (2019, October 18). FDA’s Sentinel Initiative. FDA.

<https://www.fda.gov/safety/fdas-sentinel-initiative>

Safety Evaluation of Adverse Reactions in Diabetes | SAFEGUARD Project | FP7 | CORDIS | European Commission. <https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/282521>

Safety monitoring of Influenza A/H1N1 pandemic vaccines in EudraVigilance. (2011). *Vaccine*, 29(26), 4378–4387. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.04.005>

Schuemie, M. J., Ryan, P. B., Pratt, N., Chen, R., You, S. C., Krumholz, H. M., et al. (2020). Large-scale evidence generation and evaluation across a network of databases (LEGEND): assessing validity using hypertension as a case study. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 27(8), 1268–1277. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocaa124>

Sketris, I. S., Carter, N., Traynor, R. L., Watts, D., Kelly, K., & On behalf of the following contributing members of the CNODES Knowledge Translation Team: Pierre Ernst, J. G., Brenda Hemmelgarn, Colleen Metge, Michael Paterson, Robert Platt W. and Gary Teare. (2020). Building a framework for the evaluation of knowledge translation for the Canadian Network for Observational Drug Effect Studies. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 29(S1), 8–25. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4738>

Slattery, J., & Kurz, X. (2020). Assessing strength of evidence for regulatory decision making in licensing: What proof do we need for observational studies of effectiveness? *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 29(10), 1336–1340. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.5005>

Sultana, J., Giorgianni, F., Rea, F., Lucenteforte, E., Lombardi, N., Mugelli, A., et al. (2019). All-cause mortality and antipsychotic use among elderly persons with high baseline cardiovascular and cerebrovascular risk: a multi-center retrospective cohort study in Italy. *Expert Opinion on Drug Metabolism & Toxicology*, 15(2), 179–188. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17425255.2019.1561860>

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration's Mini-Sentinel Program: Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety: Vol 21, No S1. (n.d.). Wiley Online Library.

<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/toc/10991557/21/S1>

Trifirò, G., Coloma, P. M., Rijnbeek, P. R., Romio, S., Mosseveld, B., Weibel, D., et al. (2014). Combining multiple healthcare databases for postmarketing drug and vaccine safety surveillance: why and how? *Journal of Internal Medicine*, 275(6), 551–561. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joim.12159>

VAC4EU. VAC4EU. <https://vac4eu.org/>

Xu, Y., Zhou, X., Suehs, B. T., Hartzema, A. G., Kahn, M. G., Moride, Y., et al. (2015). A Comparative Assessment of Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership and Mini-Sentinel Common Data Models and Analytics: Implications for Active Drug Safety Surveillance. *Drug Safety*, 38(8), 749–765.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-015-0297-5>

Chapter 5 - Study design

5.1. Overview

Pottegård, A., Kurz, X., Moore, N., Christiansen, C. F., & Klungel, O. (2020). Considerations for pharmacoepidemiological analyses in the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 29(8), 825–831. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.5029>

Renoux, C., Azoulay, L., & Suissa, S. (n.d.). Biases in evaluating the safety and effectiveness of drugs for covid-19: designing real-world evidence studies. *American Journal of Epidemiology*.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwab028>

Song, J. W., & Chung, K. C. (2010). Observational Studies: Cohort and Case-Control Studies. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, 126(6), 2234–2242. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PRS.0b013e3181f44abc>

5.2. Types of study design

Burningham, Z., He, T., Teng, C.-C., Zhou, X., Nebeker, J., & Sauer, B. C. (2017). Evaluation of the Case-Crossover (CCO) Study Design for Adverse Drug Event Detection. *Drug Safety*, 40(9), 789–798.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-017-0540-3>

Cadarette, S. M., Maclure, M., Delaney, J. A. C., Whitaker, H. J., Hayes, K. N., Wang, S. V., et al. (2021). Control yourself: ISPE-endorsed guidance in the application of self-controlled study designs in pharmacoepidemiology. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 30(6), 671–684.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.5227>

Coutinho, J. M., Zuurbier, S. M., Aramideh, M., & Stam, J. (2012). The Incidence of Cerebral Venous Thrombosis. *Stroke*, 43(12), 3375–3377. <https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.112.671453>

Farrington, C. P. (2004). Control without separate controls: evaluation of vaccine safety using case-only methods. *Vaccine*, 22(15–16), 2064–2070. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2004.01.017>

Greenland, S. (1996, May). Confounding and exposure trends in case-crossover and case-time-control designs. *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.)*. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00001648-199605000-00003>

Hallas, J. (1996, September). Evidence of depression provoked by cardiovascular medication: a prescription sequence symmetry analysis. *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.)*.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8862977/>

Hallas, J., Pottegård, A., Wang, S., Schneeweiss, S., & Gagne, J. J. (2016). Persistent User Bias in Case-Crossover Studies in Pharmacoepidemiology. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 184(10), 761–769. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kww079>

Impact of vaccination on household transmission of SARS-COV-2 in England - Public Health England. <https://khub.net/documents/135939561/390853656/Impact+of+vaccination+on+household+transmission+of+SARS-COV-2+in+England.pdf/35bf4bb1-6ade-d3eb-a39e-9c9b25a8122a?t=1619551571214>
Accessed 17 June 2021

Levin, K. A. (2006). Study Design VI - Ecological Studies. *Evidence-Based Dentistry*, 7(4), 108–108. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ebd.6400454>

Maclure, M. (1991). The Case-Crossover Design: A Method for Studying Transient Effects on the Risk of Acute Events. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 133(2), 144–153. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a115853>

Morales, D. R., Conover, M. M., You, S. C., Pratt, N., Kostka, K., Duarte-Salles, T., et al. (2021). Renin-angiotensin system blockers and susceptibility to COVID-19: an international, open science, cohort analysis. *The Lancet Digital Health*, 3(2), e98–e114. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500\(20\)30289-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500(20)30289-2)

Morgenstern, H. (1995). Ecologic Studies in Epidemiology: Concepts, Principles, and Methods. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 16(1), 61–81. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pu.16.050195.000425>

Rothman K, Greenland S, Lash T. (2008). *Modern Epidemiology* (3th ed.). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Schuemie, M. J., Ryan, P. B., Man, K. K. C., Wong, I. C. K., Suchard, M. A., & Hripcsak, G. (2019). A plea to stop using the case-control design in retrospective database studies. *Statistics in Medicine*, 38(22), 4199–4208. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.8215>

Simpson, C. R., Shi, T., Vasileiou, E., Katikireddi, S. V., Kerr, S., Moore, E., et al. (2021). First-dose ChAdOx1 and BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccines and thrombocytopenic, thromboembolic and hemorrhagic events in Scotland. *Nature Medicine*, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01408-4>

Suissa, S. (1995). The case-time-control design. *Epidemiology*, 6(3), 248–253. https://journals.lww.com/epidem/Abstract/1995/05000/THE_CASE_TIME_CONTROL_DESIGN.10.aspx
Accessed 17 June 2021

Wang, S., Linkletter, C., Maclure, M., Dore, D., Mor, V., Buka, S., & Wellenius, G. A. (2011). Future Cases as Present Controls to Adjust for Exposure Trend Bias in Case-only Studies. *Epidemiology*, 22(4), 568–574. <https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0b013e31821d09cd>

Wang, X., & Cheng, Z. (2020). Cross-Sectional Studies: Strengths, Weaknesses, and Recommendations. *CHEST*, 158(1), S65–S71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2020.03.012>

Whitaker, H. J., Ghebremichael-Weldeselassie, Y., Douglas, I. J., Smeeth, L., & Farrington, C. P. (2018). Investigating the assumptions of the self-controlled case series method. *Statistics in Medicine*, 37(4), 643–658. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.7536>

Whitaker, H. J., Paddy Farrington, C., Spiessens, B., & Musonda, P. (2006). Tutorial in biostatistics: the self-controlled case series method. *Statistics in Medicine*, 25(10), 1768–1797. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.2302>

5.3. Definition and validation of drug exposure, outcomes and covariates

- Banda, J. M., Seneviratne, M., Hernandez-Boussard, T., & Shah, N. H. (2018). Advances in Electronic Phenotyping: From Rule-Based Definitions to Machine Learning Models. *Annual Review of Biomedical Data Science*, 1(1), 53–68. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biodatasci-080917-013315>
- Brenner, H., & Gefeller, O. (1993). Use of the Positive Predictive Value to Correct for Disease Misclassification in Epidemiologic Studies. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 138(11), 1007–1015. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a116805>
- Brighton Collaboration. Brighton Collaboration. <https://brightoncollaboration.us/>
- Brunelli, S. M., Gagne, J. J., Huybrechts, K. F., Wang, S. V., Patrick, A. R., Rothman, K. J., & Seeger, J. D. (2013). Estimation using all available covariate information versus a fixed look-back window for dichotomous covariates. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 22(5), 542–550. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3434>
- Carnahan, R. M., & Moores, K. G. (2012). Mini-Sentinel’s systematic reviews of validated methods for identifying health outcomes using administrative and claims data: methods and lessons learned. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 21(S1), 82–89. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.2321>
- Cber Sentinel Methods - Quantitative Bias Analysis Methodology Development: Sequential Bias Adjustment for Outcome Misclassification - FDA. https://www.sentinelinitiative.org/sites/default/files/Methods/Sentinel_Methods_Sequential_bias.pdf
- ClinicalCodes Repository. <https://clinicalcodes.rss.mhs.man.ac.uk/>
- Davé, S., & Petersen, I. (2009). Creating medical and drug code lists to identify cases in primary care databases. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 18(8), 704–707. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.1770>
- Greenland, S. (1996). Basic Methods for Sensitivity Analysis of Biases. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 25(6), 1107–1116. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/25.6.1107-a>
- Hall, G. C., Lanes, S., Bollaerts, K., Zhou, X., Ferreira, G., & Gini, R. (2020). Outcome misclassification: Impact, usual practice in pharmacoepidemiology database studies and an online aid to correct biased estimates of risk ratio or cumulative incidence. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 29(11), 1450–1455. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.5109>
- Jurek, A. M., Greenland, S., Maldonado, G., & Church, T. R. (2005). Proper interpretation of non-differential misclassification effects: expectations vs observations. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 34(3), 680–687. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyi060>
- Khan, N. F., Harrison, S. E., & Rose, P. W. (2010). Validity of diagnostic coding within the General Practice Research Database: a systematic review. *British Journal of General Practice*, 60(572), e128–e136.
- Lash, T. L., Fox, M. P., MacLehose, R. F., Maldonado, G., McCandless, L. C., & Greenland, S. (2014). Good practices for quantitative bias analysis. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 43(6), 1969–1985. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyu149>
- Lee, W.-J., Lee, T. A., Pickard, A. S., Shoaibi, A., & Schumock, G. T. (2015). Using linked electronic data to validate algorithms for health outcomes in administrative databases. *Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research*, 4(4), 359–366. <https://doi.org/10.2217/ce.15.14>
- Pottegård, A., Christensen, R. dePont, Houji, A., Christiansen, C. B., Paulsen, M. S., Thomsen, J. L., & Hallas, J. (2014). Primary non-adherence in general practice: a Danish register study. *European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 70(6), 757–763. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-014-1677-y>

Pye, S. R., Sheppard, T., Joseph, R. M., Lunt, M., Girard, N., Haas, J. S., et al. (2018). Assumptions made when preparing drug exposure data for analysis have an impact on results: An unreported step in pharmacoepidemiology studies. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 27(7), 781–788.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4440>

Schneeweiss, S., & Avorn, J. (2005). A review of uses of health care utilization databases for epidemiologic research on therapeutics. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 58(4), 323–337.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2004.10.012>

5.4. Specific aspects of study design

Abajo, F. J. de, Rodríguez-Martín, S., Lerma, V., Mejía-Abril, G., Aguilar, M., García-Luque, A., et al. (2020). Use of renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system inhibitors and risk of COVID-19 requiring admission to hospital: a case–population study. *The Lancet*, 395(10238), 1705–1714.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)31030-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31030-8)

Bernal, J. L., Cummins, S., & Gasparrini, A. (2017). Interrupted time series regression for the evaluation of public health interventions: a tutorial. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 46(1), 348–355. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyw098>

Boston, 677 Huntington Avenue, & Ma 02115 +1495-1000. (2012). *Causal Inference Book*.

<https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/miguel-hernan/causal-inference-book/>

Collier, S., Harvey, C., Brewster, J., Bakerly, N. D., Elkhenini, H. F., Stanciu, R., et al. (2017). Monitoring safety in a phase III real-world effectiveness trial: use of novel methodology in the Salford Lung Study. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 26(3), 344–352.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4118>

Collins, R., Bowman, L., Landray, M., & Peto, R. (2020). The Magic of Randomization versus the Myth of Real-World Evidence. *New England Journal of Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMs1901642>

Dagan, N., Barda, N., Kepten, E., Miron, O., Perchik, S., Katz, M. A., et al. (2021). BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine in a Nationwide Mass Vaccination Setting. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 384(15), 1412–1423. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2101765>

Desai, J. R., Hyde, C. L., Kadi, S., St Louis, M., Bonato, V., Katrina Loomis, A., et al. (2017).

Utilization of Positive and Negative Controls to Examine Comorbid Associations in Observational Database Studies. *Medical Care*, 55(3), 244–251. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0000000000000640>

Dickerman, B. A., García-Albéniz, X., Logan, R. W., Denaxas, S., & Hernán, M. A. (2020). Emulating a target trial in case-control designs: an application to statins and colorectal cancer. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 49(5), 1637–1646. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyaa144>

Dormuth, C. R., Patrick, A. R., Shrank, W. H., Wright, J. M., Glynn, R. J., Sutherland, J., & Brookhart, M. A. (2009). Statin Adherence and Risk of Accidents. *Circulation*, 119(15), 2051–2057.

<https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.824151>

Draft Master Protocol Assessment of Risk of Safety Outcomes Following COVID-19 Vaccination - FDA. (n.d.). <https://www.bestinitiative.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/COVID-19-Vaccine-Safety-Inferential-Draft-Master-Protocol.pdf>

Dusetzina, S. B., Brookhart, M. A., & Maciejewski, M. L. (2015). Control Outcomes and Exposures for Improving Internal Validity of Nonrandomized Studies. *Health Services Research*, 50(5), 1432–1451.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.12279>

- Eworuke, E., Welch, E. C., Tobenkin, A., & Maro, J. C. (2019). Use of FDA's Sentinel System to Quantify Seizure Risk Immediately Following New Ranolazine Exposure. *Drug Safety*, 42(7), 897–906. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-019-00798-2>
- Farrington, C. P., Whitaker, H. J., & Hocine, M. N. (2009). Case series analysis for censored, perturbed, or curtailed post-event exposures. *Biostatistics*, 10(1), 3–16. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biostatistics/kxn013>
- Ford, I., & Norrie, J. (2016). Pragmatic Trials. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1510059>
- Franklin, J. M., Patorno, E., Desai, R. J., Glynn, R. J., Martin, D., Quinto, K., et al. (2021). Emulating Randomized Clinical Trials With Nonrandomized Real-World Evidence Studies. *Circulation*, 143(10), 1002–1013. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.051718>
- Fröbert, O., Lagerqvist, B., Olivecrona, G. K., Omerovic, E., Gudnason, T., Maeng, M., et al. (2013, October 23). Thrombus Aspiration during ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1308789>. research-article. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1308789>
- García-Albéniz, X., Hsu, J., & Hernán, M. A. (2017). The value of explicitly emulating a target trial when using real world evidence: an application to colorectal cancer screening. *European Journal of Epidemiology*, 32(6), 495–500. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-017-0287-2>
- GetReal - Glossary of Definitions of Common Terms - IMI. https://www.imi-getreal.eu/Portals/1/Documents/01%20deliverables/D1.3%20-%20Revised%20GetReal%20glossary%20-%20FINAL%20updated%20version_25Oct16_webversion.pdf
- Group, T. R. C. (2020). Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19. *New England Journal of Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2021436>
- Gulmez, S. E., Larrey, D., Pageaux, G.-P., Lignot, S., Lassalle, R., Jové, J., et al. (2013). Transplantation for Acute Liver Failure in Patients Exposed to NSAIDs or Paracetamol (Acetaminophen). *Drug Safety*, 36(2), 135–144. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-012-0013-7>
- Hallas, J., Whitaker, H., Delaney, J. A., Cadarette, S. M., Pratt, N., & Maclure, M. (n.d.). The Use of active Comparators in self-controlled Designs. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwab110>
- Hauben, M., Aronson, J. K., & Ferner, R. E. (2016). Evidence of Misclassification of Drug–Event Associations Classified as Gold Standard 'Negative Controls' by the Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership (OMOP). *Drug Safety*, 39(5), 421–432. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-016-0392-2>
- Hernán, M. A. (2018a). How to estimate the effect of treatment duration on survival outcomes using observational data. *BMJ*, 360. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.k182>
- Hernán, M. A. (2018b). The C-Word: Scientific Euphemisms Do Not Improve Causal Inference From Observational Data. *American Journal of Public Health*, 108(5), 616–619. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2018.304337>
- Hernán, M. A., & Robins, J. M. (2016). Using Big Data to Emulate a Target Trial When a Randomized Trial Is Not Available. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 183(8), 758–764. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwv254>
- Hernán, M. A., Sauer, B. C., Hernández-Díaz, S., Platt, R., & Shrier, I. (2016). Specifying a target trial prevents immortal time bias and other self-inflicted injuries in observational analyses. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 79, 70–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.04.014>

Horby, P. W., Mafham, M., Bell, J. L., Linsell, L., Staplin, N., Emberson, J., et al. (2020). Lopinavir–ritonavir in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial. *The Lancet*, 396(10259), 1345–1352. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)32013-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32013-4)

Informatics, O. H. D. S. and. Chapter 18 Method Validity | The Book of OHDSI. <https://ohdsi.github.io/TheBookOfOhdsi/> Accessed 17 June 2021

Join the PRINCIPLE Trial — PRINCIPLE Trial. <https://www.principletrial.org>

Kontopantelis, E., Doran, T., Springate, D. A., Buchan, I., & Reeves, D. (2015). Regression based quasi-experimental approach when randomisation is not an option: interrupted time series analysis. *The BMJ*, 350. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h2750>

Lauer, M. S., & D’Agostino, R. B. (2013). The Randomized Registry Trial — The Next Disruptive Technology in Clinical Research? *New England Journal of Medicine*, 369(17), 1579–1581. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1310102>

Leather, D. A., Jones, R., Woodcock, A., Vestbo, J., Jacques, L., & Thomas, M. (2020). Real-World Data and Randomised Controlled Trials: The Salford Lung Study. *Advances in Therapy*, 37(3), 977–997. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12325-019-01192-1>

Lesko, S. M., & Mitchell, A. A. (1995, March 22). An assessment of the safety of pediatric ibuprofen. A practitioner-based randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/7884951/>

Lopes, R. D., Macedo, A. V. S., de Barros E Silva, P. G. M., Moll-Bernardes, R. J., dos Santos, T. M., Mazza, L., et al. (2021). Effect of Discontinuing vs Continuing Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors and Angiotensin II Receptor Blockers on Days Alive and Out of the Hospital in Patients Admitted With COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*, 325(3), 254. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.25864>

Loudon, K., Treweek, S., Sullivan, F., Donnan, P., Thorpe, K. E., & Zwarenstein, M. (2015). The PRECIS-2 tool: designing trials that are fit for purpose. *BMJ*, 350. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h2147>

Lund, J. L., Richardson, D. B., & Stürmer, T. (2015). The Active Comparator, New User Study Design in Pharmacoepidemiology: Historical Foundations and Contemporary Application. *Current Epidemiology Reports*, 2(4), 221–228. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40471-015-0053-5>

Maclure, M., Fireman, B., Nelson, J. C., Hua, W., Shoaibi, A., Paredes, A., & Madigan, D. (2012). When should case-only designs be used for safety monitoring of medical products? *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 21(S1), 50–61. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.2330>

Mentz, R. J., Hernandez, A. F., Berdan, L. G., Rorick, T., O’Brien, E. C., Ibarra, J. C., et al. (2016). Good Clinical Practice Guidance and Pragmatic Clinical Trials. *Circulation*, 133(9), 872–880. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.019902>

Miettinen, O. S. (1989). The clinical trial as a paradigm for epidemiologic research. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 42(6), 491–496. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0895-4356\(89\)90143-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0895-4356(89)90143-1)

Moore, N., Gulmez, S. E., Larrey, D., Pageaux, G.-P., Lignot, S., Lassalle, R., et al. (2013). Choice of the denominator in case population studies: event rates for registration for liver transplantation after exposure to NSAIDs in the SALT study in France. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 22(2), 160–167. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3371>

Nicholas, J. M., Grieve, A. P., & Gulliford, M. C. (2012). Within-person study designs had lower precision and greater susceptibility to bias because of trends in exposure than cohort and nested case–

control designs. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 65(4), 384–393.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.09.004>

Peach, E. J., Pearce, F. A., Gibson, J., Cooper, A. J., Chen, L.-C., & Knaggs, R. D. (n.d.). Opioids and the Risk of Fracture: A Self-Controlled Case Series Study in the Clinical Practice Research Datalink. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwab042>

Pragmatic randomised trials using routine electronic health records: putting them to the test | The BMJ. <https://www.bmj.com/content/344/bmj.e55>

Rao, S. V., Hess, C. N., & Barham, B. (2014). A Registry-Based Randomized Trial Comparing Radial and Femoral Approaches in Women Undergoing Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: The SAFE-PCI for Women (Study of Access Site for Enhancement of PCI for Women) Trial. *JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions*, 7(8), 857–867. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcin.2014.04.007>

Rassen, J. A., & Schneeweiss, S. (2012). Newly marketed medications present unique challenges for nonrandomized comparative effectiveness analyses. *Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research*, 1(2), 109–111. <https://doi.org/10.2217/ceer.12.12>

RECOVERY Trial. <https://www.recoverytrial.net/>

Schneeweiss, S., Gagne, J. J., Glynn, R. J., Ruhl, M., & Rassen, J. A. (2011). Assessing the Comparative Effectiveness of Newly Marketed Medications: Methodological Challenges and Implications for Drug Development. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 90(6), 777–790.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/clpt.2011.235>

Schneider, R., Reinau, D., Stoffel, S., Jick, S. s., Meier, C. r., & Spöndlin, J. (2021). Risk of skin cancer in new users of thiazides and thiazide-like diuretics: a cohort study using an active comparator group. *British Journal of Dermatology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjd.19880>

Schuemie, M. J., Hripcsak, G., Ryan, P. B., Madigan, D., & Suchard, M. A. (2016). Robust empirical calibration of p-values using observational data. *Statistics in Medicine*, 35(22), 3883–3888.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.6977>

Schuemie, M. J., Hripcsak, G., Ryan, P. B., Madigan, D., & Suchard, M. A. (2018). Empirical confidence interval calibration for population-level effect estimation studies in observational healthcare data. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(11), 2571–2577.

Schuemie, M. J., Ryan, P. B., DuMouchel, W., Suchard, M. A., & Madigan, D. (2014). Interpreting observational studies: why empirical calibration is needed to correct p-values. *Statistics in Medicine*, 33(2), 209–218. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.5925>

Staa, T.-P. van, Goldacre, B., Gulliford, M., Cassell, J., Pirmohamed, M., Taweel, A., et al. (2012). Pragmatic randomised trials using routine electronic health records: putting them to the test. *BMJ*, 344. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e55>

Sterne, J. A., Hernán, M. A., Reeves, B. C., Savović, J., Berkman, N. D., Viswanathan, M., et al. (2016). ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. *BMJ*, 355. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i4919>

Strom, B. L., Eng, S. M., Faich, G., Reynolds, R. F., D'Agostino, R. B., Ruskin, J., & Kane, J. M. (2011). Comparative Mortality Associated With Ziprasidone and Olanzapine in Real-World Use Among 18,154 Patients With Schizophrenia: The Ziprasidone Observational Study of Cardiac Outcomes (ZODIAC). *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 168(2), 193–201. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2010.08040484>

- Suchard, M. A., Zorych, I., Simpson, S. E., Schuemie, M. J., Ryan, P. B., & Madigan, D. (2013). Empirical Performance of the Self-Controlled Case Series Design: Lessons for Developing a Risk Identification and Analysis System. *Drug Safety*, 36(1), 83–93. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-013-0100-4>
- Théophile, H., Laporte, J.-R., Moore, N., Martin, K.-L., & Bégaud, B. (2011). The Case-Population Study Design. *Drug Safety*, 34(10), 861–868. <https://doi.org/10.2165/11592140-000000000-00000>
- Théophile, H., Moore, N., Robinson, P., Bégaud, B., & Pariente, A. (2016). Vaccine Case–Population: A New Method for Vaccine Safety Surveillance. *Drug Safety*, 39(12), 1197–1209. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-016-0449-2>
- Thorpe, K. E., Zwarenstein, M., Oxman, A. D., Treweek, S., Furberg, C. D., Altman, D. G., et al. (2009). A pragmatic–explanatory continuum indicator summary (PRECIS): a tool to help trial designers. *CMAJ*, 180(10), E47–E57. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.090523>
- van Staa, T.-P., Dyson, L., McCann, G., Padmanabhan, S., Belatri, R., Goldacre, B., et al. (2014). The opportunities and challenges of pragmatic point-of-care randomised trials using routinely collected electronic records: evaluations of two exemplar trials. *Health Technology Assessment*, 18(43), 1–146. <https://doi.org/10.3310/hta18430>
- Weldeselassie, Y. G., Whitaker, H. J., & Farrington, C. P. (2011). Use of the self-controlled case-series method in vaccine safety studies: review and recommendations for best practice. *Epidemiology & Infection*, 139(12), 1805–1817. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268811001531>
- Whitaker, H. J., Paddy Farrington, C., Spiessens, B., & Musonda, P. (2006). Tutorial in biostatistics: the self-controlled case series method. *Statistics in Medicine*, 25(10), 1768–1797. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.2302>
- Xu, S., Zhang, L., Nelson, J. C., Zeng, C., Mullooly, J., McClure, D., & Glanz, J. (2011). Identifying optimal risk windows for self-controlled case series studies of vaccine safety. *Statistics in Medicine*, 30(7), 742–752. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.4125>
- Zuidegeest, M. G. P., Goetz, I., Groenwold, R. H. H., Irving, E., Thiel, G. J. M. W. van, & Grobbee, D. E. (2017). Series: Pragmatic trials and real world evidence: Paper 1. Introduction. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 88, 7–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.12.023>
- Zwarenstein, M., Treweek, S., Gagnier, J. J., Altman, D. G., Tunis, S., Haynes, B., et al. (2008). Improving the reporting of pragmatic trials: an extension of the CONSORT statement. *BMJ*, 337. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.a2390>

Chapter 6 - Methods to address bias and confounding

6.1 Bias

Evaluating Medication Effects Outside of Clinical Trials: New-User Designs | *American Journal of Epidemiology* | Oxford Academic. <https://academic.oup.com/aje/article/158/9/915/102549> Accessed 17 June 2021

Gagne, J. J. (2017). New-user designs with conditional propensity scores: a unified complement to the traditional active comparator new-user approach. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 26(4), 469–471. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4189>

Gerhard, T. (2008). Bias: Considerations for research practice. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy*, 65(22), 2159–2168. <https://doi.org/10.2146/ajhp070369>

- Griffith, G. J., Morris, T. T., Tudball, M. J., Herbert, A., Mancano, G., Pike, L., et al. (2020). Collider bias undermines our understanding of COVID-19 disease risk and severity. *Nature Communications*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19478-2>
- Haut, E. R. (2011). Surveillance Bias in Outcomes Reporting. *JAMA*, 305(23), 2462. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2011.822>
- Horwitz, R. I., & Feinstein, A. R. (1978). Alternative Analytic Methods for Case-Control Studies of Estrogens and Endometrial Cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 299(20), 1089–1094. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM197811162992001>
- Kiri, V. A., & MacKenzie, G. (2009). RE: "IMMORTAL TIME BIAS IN PHARMACOEPIDEMIOLOGY." *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 170(5), 667–668. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwp239>
- Lévesque, L. E., Hanley, J. A., Kezouh, A., & Suissa, S. (2010). Problem of immortal time bias in cohort studies: example using statins for preventing progression of diabetes. *BMJ*, 340. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b5087>
- Lewis, M. A. (1999). The transnational study on oral contraceptives and the health of young women. Methods, results, new analyses and the healthy user effect. *Human Reproduction Update*, 5(6), 707–720. <https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/5.6.707>
- Lund, J. L., Richardson, D. B., & Stürmer, T. (2015). The Active Comparator, New User Study Design in Pharmacoepidemiology: Historical Foundations and Contemporary Application. *Current Epidemiology Reports*, 2(4), 221–228. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40471-015-0053-5>
- Menni, C., Klaser, K., May, A., Polidori, L., Capdevila, J., Louca, P., et al. (2021). Vaccine side-effects and SARS-CoV-2 infection after vaccination in users of the COVID Symptom Study app in the UK: a prospective observational study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 0(0). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(21\)00224-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00224-3)
- Renoux, C., Azoulay, L., & Suissa, S. (n.d.). Biases in evaluating the safety and effectiveness of drugs for covid-19: designing real-world evidence studies. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwab028>
- Salas, M., Hotman, A., & Stricker, B. H. (1999). Confounding by Indication: An Example of Variation in the Use of Epidemiologic Terminology. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 149(11), 981–983. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a009758>
- Sharma, M., Nazareth, I., & Petersen, I. (2018). Observational studies of treatment effectiveness: worthwhile or worthless? *Clinical Epidemiology*. <https://doi.org/10.2147/CLEP.S178723>
- Shrank, W. H., Patrick, A. R., & Brookhart, M. A. (2011). Healthy User and Related Biases in Observational Studies of Preventive Interventions: A Primer for Physicians. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 26(5), 546–550. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-010-1609-1>
- Suissa, S. (2007). Immortal time bias in observational studies of drug effects. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 16(3), 241–249. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.1357>
- Suissa, S. (2008). Immeasurable Time Bias in Observational Studies of Drug Effects on Mortality. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 168(3), 329–335. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwn135>
- Suissa, S. (2008). Immortal Time Bias in Pharmacoepidemiology. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 167(4), 492–499. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwm324>
- Suissa, S., & Azoulay, L. (2012). Metformin and the Risk of Cancer: Time-related biases in observational studies. *Diabetes Care*, 35(12), 2665–2673. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc12-0788>

Suissa, S., Moodie, E. E. M., & Dell’Aniello, S. (2017). Prevalent new-user cohort designs for comparative drug effect studies by time-conditional propensity scores. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 26(4), 459–468. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4107>

Zhou, Z., Rahme, E., Abrahamowicz, M., & Pilote, L. (2005). Survival Bias Associated with Time-to-Treatment Initiation in Drug Effectiveness Evaluation: A Comparison of Methods. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 162(10), 1016–1023. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwi307>

6.2. Confounding

Ali, M. S., Groenwold, R. H. H., Belitser, S. V., Pestman, W. R., Hoes, A. W., Roes, K. C. B., et al. (2015). Reporting of covariate selection and balance assessment in propensity score analysis is suboptimal: a systematic review. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 68(2), 122–131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2014.08.011>

Ali, M. S., Groenwold, R. H. H., Pestman, W. R., Belitser, S. V., Hoes, A. W., Boer, A. de, & Klungel, O. H. (2013). Time-dependent propensity score and collider-stratification bias: an example of beta 2 - agonist use and the risk of coronary heart disease. *European Journal of Epidemiology*, 28(4), 291–299. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-013-9766-2>

Ali, M. S., Groenwold, R. H. H., Pestman, W. R., Belitser, S. V., Roes, K. C. B., Hoes, A. W., et al. (2014). Propensity score balance measures in pharmacoepidemiology: a simulation study. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 23(8), 802–811. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3574>

Arbogast, P. G., & Ray, W. A. (2009). Use of disease risk scores in pharmacoepidemiologic studies. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, 18(1), 67–80. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0962280208092347>

Austin, P. C. (2011a). A Tutorial and Case Study in Propensity Score Analysis: An Application to Estimating the Effect of In-Hospital Smoking Cessation Counseling on Mortality. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 46(1), 119–151. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2011.540480>

Austin, P. C. (2011b). An Introduction to Propensity Score Methods for Reducing the Effects of Confounding in Observational Studies. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 46(3), 399–424. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2011.568786>

Austin, P. C., & Stuart, E. A. (2015). Moving towards best practice when using inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW) using the propensity score to estimate causal treatment effects in observational studies. *Statistics in Medicine*, 34(28), 3661–3679. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.6607>

Baiocchi, M., Cheng, J., & Small, D. S. (2014). Instrumental variable methods for causal inference. *Statistics in Medicine*, 33(13), 2297–2340. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.6128>

Belitser, S. V., Martens, E. P., Pestman, W. R., Groenwold, R. H. H., de Boer, A., & Klungel, O. H. (2011). Measuring balance and model selection in propensity score methods. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 20(11), 1115–1129. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.2188>

Brookhart, M. A., Rassen, J. A., & Schneeweiss, S. (2010). Instrumental variable methods in comparative safety and effectiveness research. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 19(6), 537–554. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.1908>

Brookhart, M. A., Schneeweiss, S., Rothman, K. J., Glynn, R. J., Avorn, J., & Stürmer, T. (2006). Variable Selection for Propensity Score Models. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 163(12), 1149–1156. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwj149>

- Brookhart, M. A., Wang, P., Solomon, D. H., & Schneeweiss, S. (2006). Evaluating short-term drug effects using a physician-specific prescribing preference as an instrumental variable. *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.)*, 17(3), 268–275. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.ede.0000193606.58671.c5>
- Cole, S. R., Hernán, M. A., Robins, J. M., Anastos, K., Chmiel, J., Detels, R., et al. (2003). Effect of Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy on Time to Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome or Death using Marginal Structural Models. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 158(7), 687–694. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwg206>
- Desai, R. J., Rothman, K. J., Bateman, B. T., Hernandez-Diaz, S., & Huybrechts, K. F. (2017). A Propensity-score-based Fine Stratification Approach for Confounding Adjustment When Exposure Is Infrequent. *Epidemiology*, 28(2), 249–257. <https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0000000000000595>
- Fewell, Z., Davey Smith, G., & Sterne, J. A. C. (2007). The Impact of Residual and Unmeasured Confounding in Epidemiologic Studies: A Simulation Study. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 166(6), 646–655. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwm165>
- Franklin, J. M., Rassen, J. A., Ackermann, D., Bartels, D. B., & Schneeweiss, S. (2014). Metrics for covariate balance in cohort studies of causal effects. *Statistics in Medicine*, 33(10), 1685–1699. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.6058>
- Garabedian, L. F., Chu, P., Toh, S., Zaslavsky, A. M., & Soumerai, S. B. (2014). Potential Bias of Instrumental Variable Analyses for Observational Comparative Effectiveness Research. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 161(2), 131–138. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M13-1887>
- Glynn, R. J., Gagne, J. J., & Schneeweiss, S. (2012). Role of disease risk scores in comparative effectiveness research with emerging therapies. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 21(S2), 138–147. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3231>
- Greenland, S. (2000). An introduction to instrumental variables for epidemiologists. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 29(4), 722–729. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/29.4.722>
- Grobbée, D. E., & Hoes, A. W. (1997). Confounding and indication for treatment in evaluation of drug treatment for hypertension. *BMJ*, 315(7116), 1151–1154. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.315.7116.1151>
- Groenwold, R. H. H., de Vries, F., de Boer, A., Pestman, W. R., Rutten, F. H., Hoes, A. W., & Klungel, O. H. (2011). Balance measures for propensity score methods: a clinical example on beta-agonist use and the risk of myocardial infarction. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 20(11), 1130–1137. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.2251>
- Ji, X., Small, D. S., Leonard, C. E., & Hennessy, S. (2017). The Trend-in-trend Research Design for Causal Inference. *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.)*, 28(4), 529–536. <https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0000000000000579>
- Joffe, M. M., & Rosenbaum, P. R. (1999). Invited Commentary: Propensity Scores. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 150(4), 327–333. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a010011>
- Karim, M. E., Petkau, J., Gustafson, P., Platt, R. W., & Tremlett, H. (2016). Comparison of Statistical Approaches Dealing with Time-dependent Confounding in Drug Effectiveness Studies. *Statistical methods in medical research*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0962280216668554>
- Klungel, O., Martens, E. P., & Psaty, B. M. (2004). Methods to assess intended effects of drug treatment in observational studies are reviewed. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 57(12), 1223–1231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2004.03.011>

- Martens, E. P., Pestman, W. R., de Boer, A., Belitser, S. V., & Klungel, O. H. (2006). Instrumental Variables: Application and Limitations. *Epidemiology*, 17(3), 260–267. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.ede.0000215160.88317.cb>
- McMahon, A. D. (2003). Approaches to combat with confounding by indication in observational studies of intended drug effects. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 12(7), 551–558. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.883>
- Miettinen, O. S. (1976). STRATIFICATION BY A MULTIVARIATE CONFOUNDER SCORE. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 104(6), 609–620. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a112339>
- Moodie, E. E. M., & Stephens, D. A. (2010). Using Directed Acyclic Graphs to detect limitations of traditional regression in longitudinal studies. *International Journal of Public Health*, 55(6), 701–703. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00038-010-0184-x>
- Rassen, J. A., Glynn, R. J., Brookhart, M. A., & Schneeweiss, S. (2011). Covariate Selection in High-Dimensional Propensity Score Analyses of Treatment Effects in Small Samples. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 173(12), 1404–1413. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwr001>
- Rassen, J. A., & Schneeweiss, S. (2012). Using high-dimensional propensity scores to automate confounding control in a distributed medical product safety surveillance system. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 21(S1), 41–49. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.2328>
- Rassen, J. A., Shelat, A. A., Franklin, J. M., Glynn, R. J., Solomon, D. H., & Schneeweiss, S. (2013). Matching by Propensity Score in Cohort Studies with Three Treatment Groups. *Epidemiology*, 24(3), 401–409. <https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0b013e318289dedf>
- Rassen, J. A., Shelat, A. A., Myers, J., Glynn, R. J., Rothman, K. J., & Schneeweiss, S. (2012). One-to-many propensity score matching in cohort studies. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 21(S2), 69–80. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3263>
- Robins, J. M., Hernán, M. Á., & Brumback, B. (2000). Marginal Structural Models and Causal Inference in Epidemiology. *Epidemiology*, 11(5), 550–560. https://journals.lww.com/epidem/Fulltext/2000/09000/Marginal_Structural_Models_and_Causal_Inference_in.11.aspx. Accessed 17 June 2021
- Salas, M., Hotman, A., & Stricker, B. H. (1999). Confounding by Indication: An Example of Variation in the Use of Epidemiologic Terminology. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 149(11), 981–983. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a009758>
- Schmidt, A. F., Klungel, O. H., Groenwold, R. H. H., & Consortium, on behalf of the G. (2016). Adjusting for Confounding in Early Postlaunch Settings: Going Beyond Logistic Regression Models. *Epidemiology*, 27(1), 133–142. <https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0000000000000388>
- Schneeweiss, S. (2006). Sensitivity analysis and external adjustment for unmeasured confounders in epidemiologic database studies of therapeutics. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 15(5), 291–303. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.1200>
- Schneeweiss, S. (2018). Automated data-adaptive analytics for electronic healthcare data to study causal treatment effects. *Clinical Epidemiology*, 10, 771–788. <https://doi.org/10.2147/CLEP.S166545>
- Schneeweiss, S., Rassen, J. A., Glynn, R. J., Avorn, J., Mogun, H., & Brookhart, M. A. (2009). High-dimensional Propensity Score Adjustment in Studies of Treatment Effects Using Health Care Claims Data. *Epidemiology*, 20(4), 512–522. <https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0b013e3181a663cc>

Software Tools - Sensitivity. (n.d.). <https://www.drugepi.org/dope/software#Sensitivity> Accessed 17 June 2021

Stürmer, T., Rothman, K. J., Avorn, J., & Glynn, R. J. (2010). Treatment Effects in the Presence of Unmeasured Confounding: Dealing With Observations in the Tails of the Propensity Score Distribution—A Simulation Study. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 172(7), 843–854.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwq198>

Stürmer, T., Schneeweiss, S., Rothman, K. J., Avorn, J., & Glynn, R. J. (2007). Performance of Propensity Score Calibration—A Simulation Study. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 165(10), 1110–1118.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwm074>

Swanson, S. A., Robins, J. M., Miller, M., & Hernán, M. A. (2015). Selecting on Treatment: A Pervasive Form of Bias in Instrumental Variable Analyses. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 181(3), 191–197.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwu284>

Tadrous, M., Gagne, J. J., Stürmer, T., & Cadarette, S. M. (2013). Disease Risk Score (DRS) as a Confounder Summary Method: Systematic Review and Recommendations. *Pharmacoepidemiology and drug safety*, 22(2), 122–129. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3377>

Tannen, R. L., Weiner, M. G., & Xie, D. (2008). Replicated studies of two randomized trials of angiotensin- converting enzyme inhibitors: further empiric validation of the 'prior event rate ratio' to adjust for unmeasured confounding by indication. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 17(7), 671–685. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.1584>

Toh, S., García Rodríguez, L. A., & Hernán, M. A. (2011). Confounding adjustment via a semi-automated high-dimensional propensity score algorithm: an application to electronic medical records. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 20(8), 849–857. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.2152>

Uddin, M. J., Groenwold, R. H. H., de Boer, A., Afonso, A. S. M., Primatesta, P., Becker, C., et al. (2016). Evaluating different physician's prescribing preference based instrumental variables in two primary care databases: a study of inhaled long-acting beta2-agonist use and the risk of myocardial infarction. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 25(S1), 132–141.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3860>

Uddin, M. J., Groenwold, R. H. H., de Boer, A., Belitser, S. V., Roes, K. C. B., Hoes, A. W., & Klungel, O. H. (2014). Performance of instrumental variable methods in cohort and nested case-control studies: a simulation study. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 23(2), 165–177.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3555>

Uddin, M. J., Groenwold, R. H. H., van Staa, T. P., de Boer, A., Belitser, S. V., Hoes, A. W., et al. (2015). Performance of prior event rate ratio adjustment method in pharmacoepidemiology: a simulation study. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 24(5), 468–477.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3724>

Williamson, E., Morley, R., Lucas, A., & Carpenter, J. (2012). Propensity scores: From naïve enthusiasm to intuitive understanding. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, 21(3), 273–293.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0962280210394483>

Witteman, J. C. M., D'Agostino, R. B., Stijnen, T., Kannel, W. B., Cobb, J. C., Ridder, D., et al. (1998). G-estimation of Causal Effects: Isolated Systolic Hypertension and Cardiovascular Death in the Framingham Heart Study. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 148(4), 390–401.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a009658>

Wyss, R., Girman, C. J., LoCasale, R. J., Alan Brookhart, M., & Stürmer, T. (2013). Variable selection for propensity score models when estimating treatment effects on multiple outcomes: a simulation study. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 22(1), 77–85. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3356>

6.3. Missing data

Carpenter, J. R., & Smuk, M. (2021). Missing data: A statistical framework for practice. *Biometrical Journal*, 63(5), 915–947. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bimj.202000196>

Evaluation of two-fold fully conditional specification multiple imputation for longitudinal electronic health record data - Welch - 2014 - *Statistics in Medicine* - Wiley Online Library. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/sim.6184>

Gail, M., & Benichou, J. (2000). *Encyclopedia of Epidemiologic Methods*. Wiley.

Indicator and Stratification Methods for Missing Explanatory Variables in Multiple Linear Regression - *Journal of American Statistical Association*. https://www.uvm.edu/~statdhtx/StatPages/Missing_Data/Jones.pdf

Lee, K. J., & Carlin, J. B. (2012). Recovery of information from multiple imputation: a simulation study. *Emerging Themes in Epidemiology*, 9(1), 3. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-7622-9-3>

Little, R., & Rubin, D. (2002). *Statistical analysis with missing data* (2nd ed.). Wiley.

Moons, K. G. M., Donders, R. A. R. T., Stijnen, T., & Harrell, F. E. (2006). Using the outcome for imputation of missing predictor values was preferred, 59. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0895435606000606> Accessed 17 June 2021

Rothman K, Greenland S, Lash T. (2008). *Modern Epidemiology* (3th ed.). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Royston, P. (2004). Multiple Imputation of Missing Values. *The Stata Journal*, 4(3), 227–241. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1536867X0400400301>

Rubin, D. (2004). *Multiple Imputation for Nonresponse in Surveys*. Wiley.

Schafer, J. (1997). *Analysis of Incomplete Multivariate Data*. Chapman & Hall/CRC.

The MI Procedure - SAS Institute. https://documentation.sas.com/api/docsets/statug/15.2/content/mi.pdf?locale=en#nameddest=statug_mi_toc Accessed 17 June 2021

Welch, C. A., Petersen, I., Bartlett, J. W., White, I. R., Marston, L., Morris, R. W., et al. (2014). Evaluation of two-fold fully conditional specification multiple imputation for longitudinal electronic health record data. *Statistics in Medicine*, 33(21), 3725–3737. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.6184>

6.4. Triangulation

Lawlor, D. A., Tilling, K., & Davey Smith, G. (2016). Triangulation in aetiological epidemiology. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 45(6), 1866–1886. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyw314>

Sujan, A. C., Rickert, M. E., Öberg, A. S., Quinn, P. D., Hernández-Díaz, S., Almqvist, C., et al. (2017). Associations of Maternal Antidepressant Use During the First Trimester of Pregnancy With Preterm Birth, Small for Gestational Age, Autism Spectrum Disorder, and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Offspring. *JAMA*, 317(15), 1553. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.3413>

Chapter 7 - Effect measure modification and interaction

Altman, D. G., & Bland, J. M. (2003). Interaction revisited: the difference between two estimates. *BMJ*, 326(7382), 219. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.326.7382.219>

Chandan, J. S., Zemedikun, D. T., Thayakaran, R., Byne, N., Dhalla, S., Acosta-Mena, D., et al. (2021). Nonsteroidal Antiinflammatory Drugs and Susceptibility to COVID-19. *Arthritis & Rheumatology*, 73(5), 731–739. <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.41593>

Cohen, J. B., D'Agostino McGowan, L., Jensen, E. T., Rigdon, J., & South, A. M. (2021). Evaluating sources of bias in observational studies of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/angiotensin II receptor blocker use during COVID-19: beyond confounding. *Journal of Hypertension*, 39(4), 795–805. <https://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.0000000000002706>

Exploring interaction effects in small samples increases rates of false-positive and false-negative findings: results from a systematic review and simulation study. (2014). *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 67(7), 821–829. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2014.02.008>

Knol, M. J., & VanderWeele, T. J. (2012). Recommendations for presenting analyses of effect modification and interaction. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 41(2), 514–520. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyr218>

Knol, M. J., VanderWeele, T. J., Groenwold, R. H. H., Klungel, O. H., Rovers, M. M., & Grobbee, D. E. (2011). Estimating measures of interaction on an additive scale for preventive exposures. *European Journal of Epidemiology*, 26(6), 433–438. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-011-9554-9>

Langan, S. M., Schmidt, S. A., Wing, K., Ehrenstein, V., Nicholls, S. G., Fillion, K. B., et al. (2018). The reporting of studies conducted using observational routinely collected health data statement for pharmacoepidemiology (RECORD-PE). *BMJ*, 363. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.k3532>

Moher, D., Hopewell, S., Schulz, K. F., Montori, V., Gøtzsche, P. C., Devereaux, P. J., et al. (2010). CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 63(8), e1–e37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.03.004>

Tharmarajah, E., Buazon, A., Patel, V., Hannah, J. R., Adas, M., Allen, V. B., et al. (2021). IL-6 inhibition in the treatment of COVID-19: A meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Journal of Infection*, 82(5), 178–185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2021.03.008>

Vandenbroucke, J. P., von Elm, E., Altman, D. G., Gøtzsche, P. C., Mulrow, C. D., Pocock, S. J., et al. (2007). Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE): Explanation and Elaboration. *Epidemiology*, 18(6), 805–835. <https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0b013e3181577511>

VanderWeele, T. J. (2009). On the Distinction Between Interaction and Effect Modification. *Epidemiology*, 20(6), 863–871. <https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0b013e3181ba333c>

Yola, M., & Lucien, A. (1994). Evidence of the depletion of susceptibles effect in non-experimental pharmacoepidemiologic research. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 47(7), 731–737. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0895-4356\(94\)90170-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0895-4356(94)90170-8)

Chapter 8 - Systematic reviews and meta-analysis

Amani, B., Khanijahani, A., Amani, B., & Hashemi, P. (2021). Lopinavir/Ritonavir for COVID-19: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 24, 246–257. <https://doi.org/10.18433/jpps31668>

Bavishi, C., Whelton, P. K., Mancia, G., Corrao, G., & Messerli, F. H. (2021). Renin-angiotensin-system inhibitors and all-cause mortality in patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Journal of Hypertension*, 39(4), 784–794.

<https://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.0000000000002784>

Chou, R., & Helfand, M. (2005). Challenges in Systematic Reviews That Assess Treatment Harms.

Annals of Internal Medicine, 142(12_Part_2), 1090–1099. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-142-12_Part_2-200506211-00009

Henry, D., Lim, L. L.-Y., Rodriguez, L. A. G., Gutthann, S. P., Carson, J. L., Griffin, M., et al. (1996). Variability in risk of gastrointestinal complications with individual non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: results of a collaborative meta-analysis. *BMJ*, 312(7046), 1563–1566.

<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.312.7046.1563>

Hernandez-Diaz, S., Bateman, B. T., Straub, L., Zhu, Y., Mogun, H., Fischer, M., & Huybrechts, K. F. (n.d.). Safety of Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate (TDF) for Pregnant Women facing the COVID-19 Pandemic. *American Journal of Epidemiology*.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwab109>

NMA incorporating RWE – RWE Navigator. <https://rwe-navigator.eu/use-real-world-evidence/summarise-and-synthesise-rwe/overview-of-evidence-synthesis-and-nma/nma-incorporating-rwe/> Accessed 11 June 2021

Overview of evidence synthesis and network meta-analysis – RWE Navigator. (n.d.). <https://rwe-navigator.eu/use-real-world-evidence/summarise-and-synthesise-rwe/overview-of-evidence-synthesis-and-nma/> Accessed 11 June 2021

Sarri, G., Paterno, E., Yuan, H., Guo, J. (Jeff), Bennett, D., Wen, X., et al. (2020). Framework for the synthesis of non-randomised studies and randomised controlled trials: a guidance on conducting a systematic review and meta-analysis for healthcare decision making. *BMJ Evidence-Based Medicine*.

<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjebm-2020-111493>

Working Group X – Meta-analysis - COUNCIL FOR INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS OF MEDICAL SCIENCES. *COUNCIL FOR INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS OF MEDICAL SCIENCES*.

https://cioms.ch/working_groups/working-group-x/

Chapter 9 - Signal detection methodology and application

Bate, A., & Evans, S. J. W. (2009). Quantitative signal detection using spontaneous ADR reporting. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 18(6), 427–436. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.1742>

Bayer, S., Clark, C., Dang, O., Aberdeen, J., Brajovic, S., Swank, K., et al. (2021). ADE Eval: An Evaluation of Text Processing Systems for Adverse Event Extraction from Drug Labels for Pharmacovigilance. *Drug Safety*, 44(1), 83–94. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-020-00996-3>

Berlin, C., Blanch, C., Lewis, D. J., Maladorno, D. D., Michel, C., Petrin, M., et al. (2012). Are all quantitative postmarketing signal detection methods equal? Performance characteristics of logistic regression and Multi-item Gamma Poisson Shrinker. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 21(6), 622–630. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.2247>

Candore, G., Juhlin, K., Manlik, K., Thakrar, B., Quarcoo, N., Seabroke, S., et al. (2015). Comparison of Statistical Signal Detection Methods Within and Across Spontaneous Reporting Databases. *Drug Safety*, 38(6), 577–587. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-015-0289-5>

Caster, O., Aoki, Y., Gattepaille, L. M., & Grundmark, B. (2020). Disproportionality Analysis for Pharmacovigilance Signal Detection in Small Databases or Subsets: Recommendations for Limiting False-Positive Associations. *Drug Safety*, 43(5), 479–487. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-020-00911-w>

Caster, O., Juhlin, K., Watson, S., & Norén, G. N. (2014). Improved Statistical Signal Detection in Pharmacovigilance by Combining Multiple Strength-of-Evidence Aspects in *vigiRank*. *Drug Safety*, 37(8), 617–628. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-014-0204-5>

Caster, O., Norén, G. N., Madigan, D., & Bate, A. (2010). Large-scale regression-based pattern discovery: The example of screening the WHO global drug safety database. *Statistical Analysis and Data Mining: The ASA Data Science Journal*, 3(4), 197–208. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sam.10078>

Caster, O., Norén, G. N., Madigan, D., & Bate, A. (2013). Logistic Regression in Signal Detection: Another Piece Added to the Puzzle. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 94(3), 312–312. <https://doi.org/10.1038/clpt.2013.107>

DuMouchel, W., Yuen, N., Payvandi, N., Booth, W., Rut, A., & Fram, D. (2013). Automated Method for Detecting Increases in Frequency of Spontaneous Adverse Event Reports over Time. *Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics*, 23(1), 161–177. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10543406.2013.736809>

Good pharmacovigilance practices. European Medicines Agency.

<https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/post-authorisation/pharmacovigilance/good-pharmacovigilance-practices>

GVP - Module IX Addendum I – Methodological aspects of signal detection from spontaneous reports of suspected adverse reactions - EMA. (n.d.). https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/scientific-guideline/guideline-good-pharmacovigilance-practices-gvp-module-ix-addendum-i-methodological-aspects-signal_en.pdf

Harpaz, R., DuMouchel, W., LePendu, P., Bauer-Mehren, A., Ryan, P., & Shah, N. H. (2013). Performance of Pharmacovigilance Signal Detection Algorithms for the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System. *Clinical pharmacology and therapeutics*, 93(6). <https://doi.org/10.1038/clpt.2013.24>

Harpaz, R., DuMouchel, W., & Schuemie, M. (2017). Toward multimodal signal detection of adverse drug reactions. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 76, 41–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2017.10.013>

Hauben, M., Madigan, D., Gerrits, C. M., Walsh, L., & Puijenbroek, E. P. V. (2005). The role of data mining in pharmacovigilance. *Expert Opinion on Drug Safety*, 4(5), 929–948. <https://doi.org/10.1517/14740338.4.5.929>

Holle, L. V., & Bauchau, V. (2014). Use of Logistic Regression to Combine Two Causality Criteria for Signal Detection in Vaccine Spontaneous Report Data. *Drug Safety*, 37(12), 1047–1057. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-014-0237-9>

Juhlin, K., Karimi, G., Andér, M., Camilli, S., Dheda, M., Har, T. S., et al. (2015). Using *VigiBase* to Identify Substandard Medicines: Detection Capacity and Key Prerequisites. *Drug Safety*, 38(4), 373–382. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-015-0271-2>

Juhlin, K., Ye, X., Star, K., & Norén, G. N. (2013). Outlier removal to uncover patterns in adverse drug reaction surveillance – a simple unmasking strategy. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 22(10), 1119–1129. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3474>

- Low, Y. S., Caster, O., Bergvall, T., Fourches, D., Zang, X., Norén, G. N., et al. (2016). Cheminformatics-aided pharmacovigilance: application to Stevens-Johnson Syndrome. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 23(5), 968–978. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocv127>
- Maignen, F., Hauben, M., Hung, E., Holle, L. V., & Dogne, J.-M. (2014). A conceptual approach to the masking effect of measures of disproportionality. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 23(2), 208–217. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3530>
- Maignen, F., Hauben, M., Hung, E., Van Holle, L., & Dogne, J.-M. (2014). Assessing the extent and impact of the masking effect of disproportionality analyses on two spontaneous reporting systems databases. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 23(2), 195–207. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3529>
- Norén, G. N., & Edwards, I. R. (2009). Modern methods of pharmacovigilance: detecting adverse effects of drugs. *Clinical Medicine*, 9(5), 486–489. <https://doi.org/10.7861/clinmedicine.9-5-486>
- OHDSI – Observational Health Data Sciences and Informatics. (n.d.). <https://www.ohdsi.org/> Accessed 16 June 2021
- OMOP Common Data Model – OHDSI. (n.d.). <https://www.ohdsi.org/data-standardization/the-common-data-model/>
- Pacurariu, A. C., Straus, S. M., Trifirò, G., Schuemie, M. J., Gini, R., Herings, R., et al. (2015). Useful Interplay Between Spontaneous ADR Reports and Electronic Healthcare Records in Signal Detection. *Drug Safety*, 38(12), 1201–1210. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-015-0341-5>
- Pinheiro, L. C., Candore, G., Zaccaria, C., Slattery, J., & Arlett, P. (2018). An algorithm to detect unexpected increases in frequency of reports of adverse events in EudraVigilance. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 27(1), 38–45. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4344>
- Practical Aspects of Signal Detection in Pharmacovigilance: Report of CIOMS Working Group VIII - COUNCIL FOR INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS OF MEDICAL SCIENCES. (n.d.). COUNCIL FOR INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS OF MEDICAL SCIENCES. <https://cioms.ch/publications/product/practical-aspects-of-signal-detection-in-pharmacovigilance-report-of-cioms-working-group-viii/>
- PROTECT Database of adverse drug reactions (EU SPC ADR database). <http://www.imi-protect.eu/methodsRep.shtml>
- PROTECT Home. <http://www.imi-protect.eu/about.shtml>
- Research, C. for D. E. and. (2019, October 18). FDA’s Sentinel Initiative. FDA. <https://www.fda.gov/safety/fdas-sentinel-initiative>
- Research, C. for D. E. and. (2020, April 22). Good Pharmacovigilance Practices and Pharmacoepidemiologic Assessment - FDA. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/good-pharmacovigilance-practices-and-pharmacoepidemiologic-assessment> Accessed 16 June 2021
- Salvo, F., Leborgne, F., Thiessard, F., Moore, N., Bégaud, B., & Pariente, A. (2013). A Potential Event-Competition Bias in Safety Signal Detection: Results from a Spontaneous Reporting Research Database in France. *Drug Safety*, 36(7), 565–572. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-013-0063-5>
- Scholl, J. H. G., van Hunsel, F. P. A. M., Hak, E., & van Puijenbroek, E. P. (2018). A prediction model-based algorithm for computer-assisted database screening of adverse drug reactions in the

Netherlands. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 27(2), 199–205.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4364>

Schotland, P., Racz, R., Jackson, D. B., Soldatos, T. G., Levin, R., Strauss, D. G., & Burkhart, K. (2021). Target Adverse Event Profiles for Predictive Safety in the Postmarket Setting. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 109(5), 1232–1243. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpt.2074>

Screening for adverse reactions in EudraVigilance - EMA.

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/other/screening-adverse-reactions-eudravigilance_en.pdf

Stephenson, W. P., & Hauben, M. (2007). Data mining for signals in spontaneous reporting databases: proceed with caution. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 16(4), 359–365.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.1323>

Summary of product characteristics. (n.d.). European Medicines Agency.

<https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/glossary/summary-product-characteristics> Accessed 16 June 2021

Tatonetti, N. P., Ye, P. P., Daneshjou, R., & Altman, R. B. (2012). Data-Driven Prediction of Drug Effects and Interactions. *Science Translational Medicine*, 4(125), 125ra31-125ra31.

<https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3003377>

Welcome to MedDRA | MedDRA. <https://www.meddra.org/>

Wisniewski, A. F. Z., Bate, A., Bousquet, C., Brueckner, A., Candore, G., Juhlin, K., et al. (2016). Good Signal Detection Practices: Evidence from IMI PROTECT. *Drug Safety*, 39(6), 469–490.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-016-0405-1>

Chapter 10 – The statistical analysis plan

Ärzteblatt, D. Ä. G., Redaktion Deutsches. (n.d.). Avoiding Bias in Observational Studies. *Deutsches Ärzteblatt*. <https://www.aerzteblatt.de/int/archive/article?id=66288>

Hiemstra, B., Keus, F., Wetterslev, J., Gluud, C., & van der Horst, I. C. C. (2019). DEBATE-statistical analysis plans for observational studies. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 19(1), 233.

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-019-0879-5>

ICH E9 statistical principles for clinical trials. European Medicines Agency.

<https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/ich-e9-statistical-principles-clinical-trials>

ICH E9(R1) - Addendum on estimands and sensitivity analysis in clinical trials.

https://database.ich.org/sites/default/files/E9-R1_Step4_Guideline_2019_1203.pdf

ICH Official website: ICH - Harmonisation for better health. <https://www.ich.org/>

Thomas, L., & Peterson, E. D. (2012). The Value of Statistical Analysis Plans in Observational Research: Defining High-Quality Research From the Start. *JAMA*, 308(8), 773.

<https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2012.9502>

Chapter 11 – Quality management

Blacketer, C., Defalco, F. J., Ryan, P. B., & Rijnbeek, P. R. (2021). Increasing Trust in Real-World Evidence Through Evaluation of Observational Data Quality. *medRxiv*, 2021.03.25.21254341.

<https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.25.21254341>

Blomgren, K. J., Sundström, A., Steineck, G., & Wiholm, B.-E. (2006). Interviewer Variability – Quality Aspects in a Case–Control Study. *European Journal of Epidemiology*, 21(4), 267–277.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-006-0017-7>

Cave, A., Kurz, X., & Arlett, P. (2019). Real-World Data for Regulatory Decision Making: Challenges and Possible Solutions for Europe. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 106(1), 36–39.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/cpt.1426>

Characterizing RWD Quality and Relevancy for Regulatory Purposes - Margolis Center for Health Policy.
https://healthpolicy.duke.edu/sites/default/files/2020-03/characterizing_rwd.pdf

Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 520/2012. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg_impl/2012/520/oj

Draft Guideline on registry-based studies - EMA. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/scientific-guideline/guideline-registry-based-studies_en.pdf Accessed 20 May 2021

European Forum for Good Clinical Practice (EFCGP). EFCGP.
<https://efgcp.eu/Publications.asp?Type=EFGCP%20publications&L1=13&L2=1> Accessed 20 May 2021

Furu, K. (2008). Establishment of the nationwide Norwegian Prescription Database (NorPD) – new opportunities for research in pharmacoepidemiology in Norway. *Norsk Epidemiologi*, 18(2).
<https://doi.org/10.5324/nje.v18i2.23>

Good pharmacovigilance practices (GPP). (2018, September 17). European Medicines Agency.
<https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/post-authorisation/pharmacovigilance/good-pharmacovigilance-practices>

Guidelines for Good Pharmacoepidemiology Practices (GPP) - International Society for Pharmacoepidemiology. ISPE. <https://www.pharmacoepi.org/resources/policies/guidelines-08027/>

Herrett, E., Thomas, S. L., Schoonen, W. M., Smeeth, L., & Hall, A. J. (2010). Validation and validity of diagnoses in the General Practice Research Database: a systematic review. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 69(1), 4–14. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2125.2009.03537.x>

Hoffmann, W., Latza, U., Baumeister, S. E., Brünger, M., Buttman-Schweiger, N., Hardt, J., et al. (2019). Guidelines and recommendations for ensuring Good Epidemiological Practice (GEP): a guideline developed by the German Society for Epidemiology. *European Journal of Epidemiology*, 34(3), 301–317. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-019-00500-x>

Informatics, O. H. D. S. and. The Book of OHDSI. <https://ohdsi.github.io/TheBookOfOhdsi/>

ISO Quality management principles.
<https://www.iso.org/files/live/sites/isoorg/files/store/en/PUB100080.pdf>. Accessed 20 May 2021

ISO 9000:2015. ISO.
<https://www.iso.org/cms/render/live/en/sites/isoorg/contents/data/standard/04/54/45481.html>

ICH E6 (R2) Good clinical practice. European Medicines Agency. <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/ich-e6-r2-good-clinical-practice>

ICH E8 General considerations for clinical studies. European Medicines Agency.
<https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/ich-e8-general-considerations-clinical-studies>

Kahn, M. G., Callahan, T. J., Barnard, J., Bauck, A. E., Brown, J., Davidson, B. N., et al. (2016). A Harmonized Data Quality Assessment Terminology and Framework for the Secondary Use of Electronic

Health Record Data. eGEMs (Generating Evidence & Methods to improve patient outcomes), 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.13063/2327-9214.1244>

Kiran, D. R. (2016). Total Quality Management - 1st Edition (1st ed.). BSP Books, Elsevier. <https://www.elsevier.com/books/total-quality-management/kiran/978-0-12-811035-5> Accessed 28 May 2020

OHDSI – Observational Health Data Sciences and Informatics. OHDSI. <https://www.ohdsi.org/>

PCORnet. The National Patient-Centered Clinical Research Network. <https://pcornet.org/data/> Accessed 20 May 2021

Quality Control and Quality Assurance SOP - AHSC. https://www.imperial.ac.uk/media/imperial-college/research-and-innovation/research-office/public/RGIT_SOP_025_QAQC_v8.0_19-Oct-2020.pdf

Reflection paper on risk based quality management in clinical trials - EMA. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/scientific-guideline/reflection-paper-risk-based-quality-management-clinical-trials_en.pdf Accessed 20 May 2021

Registries for Evaluating Patient Outcomes: A User's Guide: 3rd Edition Addendums (Overview) | Effective Health Care Program. (2018). AHRQ.

<https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/products/registries-guide-3rd-edition-addendum/overview>

Reisch, L. M., Fosse, J. S., Beverly, K., Yu, O., Barlow, W. E., Harris, E. L., et al. (2003). Training, Quality Assurance, and Assessment of Medical Record Abstraction in a Multisite Study. American Journal of Epidemiology, 157(6), 546–551. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwg016>

Research, C. for D. E. and. (2020, April 29). Best Practices for Conducting and Reporting Pharmacoepidemiologic Safety Studies Using Electronic Healthcare Data Sets. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/best-practices-conducting-and-reporting-pharmacoepidemiologic-safety-studies-using-electronic>

Risk proportionate approaches in clinical trials - European Commission.

https://ec.europa.eu/health/sites/default/files/files/eudralex/vol-10/2017_04_25_risk_proportionate_approaches_in_ct.pdf

Sprenger, K., Nickerson, D., Meeker-O'Connell, A., & Morrison, B. W. (2013). Quality by Design in Clinical Trials: A Collaborative Pilot With FDA. Therapeutic Innovation & Regulatory Science, 47(2), 161–166. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0092861512458909>

Theobald, K., Capan, M., Herbold, M., Schinzel, S., & Hundt, F. (2009). Quality assurance in non-interventional studies. GMS German Medical Science, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3205/000088>

Whitney, C. W., Lind, B. K., & Wahl, P. W. (1998). Quality Assurance and Quality Control in Longitudinal Studies. Epidemiologic Reviews, 20(1), 71–80.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.epirev.a017973>

Chapter 12 – Dissemination and communication of study results

Benchimol, E. I., Moher, D., Ehrenstein, V., & Langan, S. M. (2020). Retraction of COVID-19 Pharmacoepidemiology Research Could Have Been Avoided by Effective Use of Reporting Guidelines. Clinical Epidemiology. <https://doi.org/10.2147/CLEP.S288677>

ClinicalTrials.gov. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/>

Cohen, J., Da, K., Dg, A., De, B., Ca, G., L, H., et al. (2016). STARD 2015 guidelines for reporting diagnostic accuracy studies: explanation and elaboration. *BMJ open*, 6(11).
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012799>

ENCePP Checklist for Study Protocols. ENCePP.
http://www.encepp.eu/standards_and_guidances/checkListProtocols.shtml

ENCePP Code of Conduct. ENCePP. http://www.encepp.eu/code_of_conduct/index.shtml

EU PAS Register. http://www.encepp.eu/encepp_studies/indexRegister.shtml

FDA's Best Practices for Conducting and Reporting Pharmacoepidemiologic Safety Studies Using Electronic Healthcare Data Sets. (2020, April 29). U.S. Food and Drug Administration.
<https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/best-practices-conducting-and-reporting-pharmacoepidemiologic-safety-studies-using-electronic>

Good pharmacovigilance practices. (2018, September 17). European Medicines Agency.
<https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/post-authorisation/pharmacovigilance/good-pharmacovigilance-practices>

GRACE Principles - Good ReseArch for Comparative Effectiveness. GRACE.
<https://www.graceprinciples.org/>

Guidance for the format and content of the final study report of non-interventional post-authorisation safety studies - EMA. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/regulatory-procedural-guideline/guidance-format-content-final-study-report-non-interventional-post-authorisation-safety-studies_en.pdf

Guidance for the format and content of the protocol of non-interventional post-authorisation safety studies - EMA. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/other/guidance-format-content-protocol-non-interventional-post-authorisation-safety-studies_en.pdf

ICMJE. International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. <http://www.icmje.org/>

ICMJE | Recommendations. (n.d.). International Committee of Medical Journal Editors.
<http://www.icmje.org/recommendations/>

IEA Good Epidemiology Practices (GEP). (2007). IEA Publications.
https://ieaweb.org/IEAWeb/Content/IEA_Publications.aspx Accessed 14 May 2020

ISPE Guidelines for Good Pharmacoepidemiology Practices (GPP). (n.d.). International Society for Pharmacoepidemiology. <https://www.pharmacoepi.org/resources/policies/guidelines-08027/>

Kelly, W. N., Arellano, F. M., Barnes, J., Bergman, U., Edwards, I. R., Fernandez, A. M., et al. (2007). Guidelines for submitting adverse event reports for publication. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 16(5), 581–587. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.1399>

Langan, S. M., Schmidt, S. A., Wing, K., Ehrenstein, V., Nicholls, S. G., Filion, K. B., et al. (2018). The reporting of studies conducted using observational routinely collected health data statement for pharmacoepidemiology (RECORD-PE). *BMJ*, 363. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.k3532>

Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *BMJ*, 339. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2535>

Nicholls, S. G., Quach, P., Elm, E. von, Guttman, A., Moher, D., Petersen, I., et al. (2015). The REporting of Studies Conducted Using Observational Routinely-Collected Health Data (RECORD)

Statement: Methods for Arriving at Consensus and Developing Reporting Guidelines. PLOS ONE, 10(5), e0125620. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0125620>

Open Science Forum (OSF). (n.d.). <https://osf.io/>

Schneeweiss, S., Rassen, J. A., Brown, J. S., Rothman, K. J., Happe, L., Arlett, P., et al. (2019). Graphical Depiction of Longitudinal Study Designs in Health Care Databases. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 170(6), 398–406. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M18-3079>

Schulz, K. F., Altman, D. G., & Moher, D. (2010). CONSORT 2010 Statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. *BMJ*, 340. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c332>

Simera, I., Moher, D., Hoey, J., Schulz, K. F., & Altman, D. G. (2010). A catalogue of reporting guidelines for health research. *European Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 40(1), 35–53. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2362.2009.02234.x>

STROBE - Strengthening the Reporting of Observational studies in Epidemiology Statement Guidelines for reporting observational studies. STROBE. <https://strobe-statement.org/index.php?id=strobe-publications>

Stroup, D. F., Berlin, J. A., Morton, S. C., Olkin, I., Williamson, G. D., Rennie, D., et al. (2000). Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology: A Proposal for Reporting. *JAMA*, 283(15), 2008–2012. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.283.15.2008>

The EQUATOR Network | Enhancing the QUALity and Transparency Of Health Research. (n.d.). <https://www.equator-network.org/>

Wang, S. V., Schneeweiss, S., Berger, M. L., Brown, J., de Vries, F., Douglas, I., et al. (2017). Reporting to Improve Reproducibility and Facilitate Validity Assessment for Healthcare Database Studies V1.0. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 26(9), 1018–1032. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4295>

WMA Declaration of Helsinki – Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects. (n.d.). World Medical Association. <https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-human-subjects/>

Zwarenstein, M., Treweek, S., Gagnier, J. J., Altman, D. G., Tunis, S., Haynes, B., et al. (2008). Improving the reporting of pragmatic trials: an extension of the CONSORT statement. *BMJ*, 337. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.a2390>

Chapter 13 - Data protection and ethical aspects

13.1. Personal data protection in the European Union

Article 29 Working Party | European Data Protection Board. https://edpb.europa.eu/about-edpb/more-about-edpb/article-29-working-party_en

COMMISSION DIRECTIVE 2005/28/EC. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2005:091:0013:0019:en:PDF>

Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 520/2012. (n.d.). <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2012:159:0005:0025:EN:PDF>

Data Privacy, Medical Record Confidentiality, and Research in the Interest of Public Health - International Society for Pharmacoepidemiology. <https://www.pharmacoepi.org/resources/policies/privacy/>

EudraLex - EU Legislation. *Public Health - European Commission*.

https://ec.europa.eu/health/documents/eudralex_en

Directive 2001/20/EC. [https://eur-](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2001:121:0034:0044:en:PDF)

[lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2001:121:0034:0044:en:PDF](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2001:121:0034:0044:en:PDF)

EDPB | European Data Protection Board. https://edpb.europa.eu/edpb_en

ENCePP Home Page. <http://www.encepp.eu/>

European Data Protection Board. https://edpb.europa.eu/about-edpb/about-edpb/members_en

GDPR - General Data Protection Regulation (EU) 2016/679. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32016R0679>

Good pharmacovigilance practices. *European Medicines Agency*.

<https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/post-authorisation/pharmacovigilance/good-pharmacovigilance-practices>

Guidelines 3/2018 on the territorial scope of the GDPR (Article 3).

https://edpb.europa.eu/sites/default/files/files/file1/edpb_guidelines_3_2018_territorial_scope_after_public_consultation_en_1.pdf

Guidelines for Good Pharmacoepidemiology Practices (GPP) - International Society for

Pharmacoepidemiology. <https://www.pharmacoepi.org/resources/policies/guidelines-08027/>

Human medicines: regulatory information. *European Medicines Agency*.

<https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-medicines-regulatory-information>

Question and Answers on the interplay between the Clinical Trials Regulation and the General Data Protection Regulation.

https://ec.europa.eu/health/sites/default/files/files/documents/qa_clinicaltrials_gdpr_en.pdf

Regulation (EU) 2018/1725.

https://edps.europa.eu/sites/default/files/publication/regulation_eu_2018_1725_en.pdf

Regulation (EU) No 536/2014. (n.d.). [https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32014R0536&rid=1)

[content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32014R0536&rid=1](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32014R0536&rid=1)

13.2. Scientific integrity and ethical conduct

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). AHRQ. <https://www.ahrq.gov/>

CIOMS International Ethical Guidelines for Health-related Research Involving Human.

<https://cioms.ch/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/WEB-CIOMS-EthicalGuidelines.pdf> Accessed 4 June

ENCePP Code of Conduct. ENCePP. http://www.encepp.eu/code_of_conduct/index.shtml

EU PAS Register. http://www.encepp.eu/encepp_studies/indexRegister.shtml

Good pharmacovigilance practices (GPP). (2018, September 17). European Medicines Agency.

<https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/post-authorisation/pharmacovigilance/good-pharmacovigilance-practices>

HMA-EMA Joint Big Data Taskforce Phase II report: 'Evolving Data-Driven Regulation.

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/other/hma-ema-joint-big-data-taskforce-phase-ii-report-evolving-data-driven-regulation_en.pdf

ICMJE. International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. <http://www.icmje.org/>

ICMJE | Recommendations. International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. <http://www.icmje.org/recommendations/>

IEA Good Epidemiology Practices (GEP). (2007). IEA Publications. https://ieaweb.org/IEAWeb/Content/IEA_Publications.aspx

Kurz, X., Bauchau, V., Mahy, P., Glismann, S., van der Aa, L. M., & Simondon, F. (2017). The ADVANCE Code of Conduct for collaborative vaccine studies. *Vaccine*, 35(15), 1844–1855. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.02.039>

Registries for Evaluating Patient Outcomes: A User's Guide: 3rd Edition | Effective Health Care Program. (n.d.). AHRQ. <https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/products/registries-guide-3rd-edition/research/>

WMA Declaration of Helsinki – Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects. World Medical Association. <https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-human-subjects/>

Chapter 14 - Specific topics

14.1. Comparative effectiveness research

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). <https://www.ahrq.gov/> Accessed 4 June 2020

AHRQ Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. (2005). Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US).

Arbogast, P. G., & Seeger, J. D. (2012, May). Summary Variables in Observational Research: Propensity Scores and Disease Risk Scores | Effective Health Care Program. <https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/products/observational-research-scores/research>

Avorn, J. (2007). In Defense of Pharmacoepidemiology — Embracing the Yin and Yang of Drug Research. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 357(22), 2219–2221. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp0706892>

Black, N. (1996). Why we need observational studies to evaluate the effectiveness of health care. *BMJ*, 312(7040), 1215–1218. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.312.7040.1215>

COMET Initiative. <http://www.comet-initiative.org/>

Comparative Effectiveness Research (CER). US National Library of Medicine. https://hsric.nlm.nih.gov/hsric_public/topic/cer/

Concato, J., Shah, N., & Horwitz, R. I. (2000). Randomized, Controlled Trials, Observational Studies, and the Hierarchy of Research Designs. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 342(25), 1887–1892. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM200006223422507>

D, S., & J, L. (2009). Explanatory and Pragmatic Attitudes in Therapeutic Trials. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2009.01.012>

Developing a Protocol for Observational Comparative Effectiveness Research: A User's Guide - Effective Health Care Program. (2013). <https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/products/observational-cer-protocol/research>

Es, J., Ba, B., Ba, B., Ns, F., T, G., Cj, K., et al. (2013). The Incident User Design in Comparative Effectiveness Research. *Pharmacoepidemiology and drug safety*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3334>

EUnetHTA. EUnetHTA. <https://eunethta.eu/>

Gorst, S. L., Gargon, E., Clarke, M., Blazeby, J. M., Altman, D. G., & Williamson, P. R. (2016). Choosing Important Health Outcomes for Comparative Effectiveness Research: An Updated Review and User Survey. PLOS ONE, 11(1), e0146444. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0146444>

GRACE Principles - Good ReseArch for Comparative Effectiveness. (2016).

<https://www.graceprinciples.org/>

Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE). (n.d.).

<https://www.gradeworkinggroup.org/>

Hc, S., & Sn, G. (2012). The Methods of Comparative Effectiveness Research. Annual review of public health. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031811-124610>

IMI GetReal - Real-Life Data In Drug Development. (n.d.). <https://www.imi-getreal.eu/> A

ISPOR - International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research.

<https://www.ispor.org/>

J, H., & J, K. (2012). What Is a Rapid Review? A Methodological Exploration of Rapid Reviews in Health Technology Assessments. International journal of evidence-based healthcare.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-1609.2012.00290.x>

Loudon, K., Treweek, S., Sullivan, F., Donnan, P., Thorpe, K. E., & Zwarenstein, M. (2015). The PRECIS-2 tool: designing trials that are fit for purpose. BMJ, 350. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h2147>

Ma, H., & Jm, R. (2016). Using Big Data to Emulate a Target Trial When a Randomized Trial Is Not Available. American journal of epidemiology. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwv254>

Methods Guide for Effectiveness and Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. (2008). Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US). <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK47095/>

Oh, K., Ep, M., Bm, P., De, G., Sd, S., Bh, S., et al. (2004). Methods to Assess Intended Effects of Drug Treatment in Observational Studies Are Reviewed. Journal of clinical epidemiology.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2004.03.011>

PCORI - Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute. <https://www.pcori.org/>

Ray, W. A. (2003). Evaluating Medication Effects Outside of Clinical Trials: New-User Designs.

American Journal of Epidemiology, 158(9), 915–920. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwq231>

Rj, V., Ho, A., Am, L., E, B., C, B., Rr, A., et al. (2012). Enhancing Electronic Health Record Measurement of Depression Severity and Suicide Ideation: A Distributed Ambulatory Research in Therapeutics Network (DARTNet) Study. Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine : JABFM.

<https://doi.org/10.3122/jabfm.2012.05.110053>

RWE Navigator. <https://rwe-navigator.eu/>

S, C., D, G., J, L., T, G., & S, C. (2010). Assessing Medication Exposures and Outcomes in the Frail Elderly: Assessing Research Challenges in Nursing Home Pharmacotherapy. Medical care.

<https://doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0b013e3181de9d10>

S, S. (2007). Developments in Post-marketing Comparative Effectiveness Research. Clinical pharmacology and therapeutics, 82(2), 143–156. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.clpt.6100249>

S, S., & J, A. (2005). A Review of Uses of Health Care Utilization Databases for Epidemiologic Research on Therapeutics. Journal of clinical epidemiology. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2004.10.012>

- S, T., Jj, G., Ja, R., Bh, F., M, K., & Js, B. (2013). Confounding Adjustment in Comparative Effectiveness Research Conducted Within Distributed Research Networks. *Medical care*. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0b013e31829b1bb1>
- Sauer, B. C., Brookhart, A., Roy, J., & Vanderweele, T. (2013). A Review of Covariate Selection for Nonexperimental Comparative Effectiveness Research. *Pharmacoepidemiology and drug safety*, 22(11), 1139–1145. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3506>
- Schneeweiss, S., Rassen, J. A., Glynn, R. J., Avorn, J., Mogun, H., & Brookhart, M. A. (2009). High-dimensional propensity score adjustment in studies of treatment effects using health care claims data. *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.)*, 20(4), 512–522. <https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0b013e3181a663cc>
- Selby, J. V., Forsythe, L., & Sox, H. C. (2015). Stakeholder-Driven Comparative Effectiveness Research: An Update From PCORI. *JAMA*, 314(21), 2235–2236. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2015.15139>
- Staa, T.-P. van, Goldacre, B., Gulliford, M., Cassell, J., Pirmohamed, M., Taweel, A., et al. (2012). Pragmatic randomised trials using routine electronic health records: putting them to the test. *BMJ*, 344. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e55>
- Tadrous, M., Gagne, J. J., Stürmer, T., & Cadarette, S. M. (2013). Disease Risk Score (DRS) as a Confounder Summary Method: Systematic Review and Recommendations. *Pharmacoepidemiology and drug safety*, 22(2), 122–129. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3377>
- The final version of HTA Core Model® and the Methodological Guidelines for Rapid REA of Pharmaceuticals is now available. (2013, March 8). EUnetHTA. <https://eunethta.eu/the-final-version-of-hta-core-model-and-the-methodological-guidelines-for-rapid-rea-of-pharmaceuticals-is-now-available/>
- Thorpe, K. E., Zwarenstein, M., Oxman, A. D., Treweek, S., Furberg, C. D., Altman, D. G., et al. (2009). A pragmatic–explanatory continuum indicator summary (PRECIS): a tool to help trial designers. *CMAJ*, 180(10), E47–E57. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.090523>
- Vandenbroucke, J. P. (2004). When are observational studies as credible as randomised trials? *The Lancet*, 363(9422), 1728–1731. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(04\)16261-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(04)16261-2)
- Zwarenstein, M., Treweek, S., Gagnier, J. J., Altman, D. G., Tunis, S., Haynes, B., et al. (2008). Improving the reporting of pragmatic trials: an extension of the CONSORT statement. *BMJ*, 337. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.a2390>

14.2. Vaccine safety and effectiveness

A prospective study to evaluate the safety, effectiveness and impact of the RTS, S/AS01E vaccine in young children in sub-Saharan Africa. ENCePP.

<http://www.encepp.eu/encepp/viewResource.htm?id=38655>

ACCESS template protocol for safety of COVID-19 vaccines. ENCePP.

<http://www.encepp.eu/encepp/viewResource.htm?id=39362>

ADVANCE Report on appraisal of vaccine safety methods. https://vac4eu.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/ADVANCE_D4-2_appraisal-safety-methods_final_PU.pdf

Adverse events associated with pandemic influenza vaccines: Comparison of the results of a follow-up study with those coming from spontaneous reporting. (2011). *Vaccine*, 29(3), 519–522.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.10.067>

- Andrews, N. (2012). Epidemiological designs for vaccine safety assessment: Methods and pitfalls. *Biologicals*, 40(5), 389–392. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biologicals.2011.08.010>
- Andrews, N., Kent, A., & Amin-Chowdhury, Z. (2019). Effectiveness of the seven-valent and thirteen-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccines in England: The indirect cohort design, 2006–2018. *Vaccine*, 37(32), 4491–4498. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.06.071>
- Andrews, N., Stowe, J., & Thomas, S. L. (2019). The risk of non-specific hospitalised infections following MMR vaccination given with and without inactivated vaccines in the second year of life. Comparative self-controlled case-series study in England. *Vaccine*, 37(36), 5211–5217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.07.059>
- Andrews, N., Waight, P. A., Borrow, R., Ladhani, S., George, R. C., Slack, M. P. E., & Miller, E. (2011). Using the Indirect Cohort Design to Estimate the Effectiveness of the Seven Valent Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine in England and Wales. *PLOS ONE*, 6(12), e28435. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028435>
- B. Black, S., Law, B., & L. Dekker, C. (2021). The critical role of background rates of possible adverse events in the assessment of COVID-19 vaccine safety. *Vaccine*, 39(19), 2712–2718. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.03.016>
- Background Rates of Adverse Events of Special Interest for COVID-19 Vaccine Safety Monitoring - FDA. <https://www.bestinitiative.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/C19-Vaccine-Safety-AESI-Background-Rate-Protocol-FINAL-2020.pdf>
- Background rates of Adverse Events of Special Interest for monitoring COVID-19 vaccines. (n.d.). ENCePP. <http://www.encepp.eu/encepp/viewResource.htm?id=40361> Accessed 18 June 2021
- Baker, M. A., Baer, B., Kulldorff, M., Zichittella, L., Reindel, R., DeLuccia, S., et al. (2019). Kawasaki disease and 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccination among young children: A self-controlled risk interval and cohort study with null results. *PLoS Medicine*, 16(7). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002844>
- Baker, M. A., Lieu, T. A., Li, L., Hua, W., Qiang, Y., Kawai, A. T., et al. (2015). A Vaccine Study Design Selection Framework for the Postlicensure Rapid Immunization Safety Monitoring Program. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 181(8), 608–618. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwu322>
- Bardenheier, B. H., Gravenstein, S., & Blackman, C. (2021). Adverse events following mRNA SARS-CoV-2 vaccination among U.S. nursing home residents. *Vaccine*, 39(29), 3844–3851. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.05.088>
- Baum, U., Sundman, J., Jääskeläinen, S., Nohynek, H., Puumalainen, T., & Jokinen, J. (2017). Establishing and maintaining the National Vaccination Register in Finland. *Eurosurveillance*, 22(17), 30520. <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2017.22.17.30520>
- Bauwens, J., Bonhoeffer, J., & Chen, R. T. (2016). Harmonising Immunisation Safety Assessment in Pregnancy. *Vaccine*, 34(49), 5991–6110. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/vaccine/vol/34/issue/49>
- Bauwens, J., Bonhoeffer, J., & Kochhar, S. (2017). Harmonising Immunisation Safety Assessment in Pregnancy - Part II. *Vaccine*, 35(48), 6469–6582. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/vaccine/vol/35/issue/48/part/PA>
- Beck, C. R., McKenzie, B. C., Hashim, A. B., Harris, R. C., University of Nottingham Influenza and the ImmunoCompromised (UNIIC) Study Group, A., & Nguyen-Van-Tam, J. S. (2012). Influenza

- Vaccination for Immunocompromised Patients: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis by Etiology. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 206(8), 1250–1259. <https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jis487>
- Bernal, J. L., Andrews, N., Gower, C., Robertson, C., Stowe, J., Tessier, E., et al. (2021). Effectiveness of the Pfizer-BioNTech and Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccines on covid-19 related symptoms, hospital admissions, and mortality in older adults in England: test negative case-control study. *BMJ*, 373. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n1088>
- Bie, S. de, Verhamme, K. M. C., Straus, S. M. J. M., Stricker, B. H. C., & Sturkenboom, M. C. J. M. (2012). Vaccine-Based Subgroup Analysis in VigiBase. *Drug Safety*, 35(4), 335–346. <https://doi.org/10.2165/11598120-000000000-00000>
- Biggart, R., Finn, A., & Marlow, R. (2018). Lack of impact of rotavirus vaccination on childhood seizure hospitalizations in England – An interrupted time series analysis. *Vaccine*, 36(31), 4589–4592. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2018.06.029>
- Bollaerts, K., Verstraeten, T., & Cohet, C. (2019). Observational studies of non-specific effects of Diphtheria-Tetanus-Pertussis vaccines in low-income countries: Assessing the potential impact of study characteristics, bias and confounding through meta-regression. *Vaccine*, 37(1), 34–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2018.11.049>
- Bonaiuti, R. (n.d.). DRIVE. DRIVE. <http://www.drive-eu.org/>
- Brighton Collaboration. (n.d.). Brighton Collaboration. <https://brightoncollaboration.us/>
- Broome, C. V., Facklam, R. R., & Fraser, D. W. (1980). Pneumococcal Disease after Pneumococcal Vaccination. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 303(10), 549–552. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM198009043031003>
- Brown, J. S., Kulldorff, M., Chan, K. A., Davis, R. L., Graham, D., Pettus, P. T., et al. (2007). Early detection of adverse drug events within population-based health networks: application of sequential testing methods. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 16(12), 1275–1284. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.1509>
- Castilla, J., Beristain, X., & Martínez-Artola, V. (2012). Effectiveness of rotavirus vaccines in preventing cases and hospitalizations due to rotavirus gastroenteritis in Navarre, Spain. *Vaccine*, 30(3), 539–543. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.11.071>
- Chodick, G., Tene, L., Patalon, T., Gazit, S., Ben Tov, A., Cohen, D., & Muhsen, K. (2021). Assessment of Effectiveness of 1 Dose of BNT162b2 Vaccine for SARS-CoV-2 Infection 13 to 24 Days After Immunization. *JAMA Network Open*, 4(6), e2115985. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.15985>
- Chrapkowska, C., Galanis, I., & Kark, M. (2020). Validation of the new Swedish vaccination register – Accuracy and completeness of register data. *Vaccine*, 38(25), 4104–4110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.04.020>
- CIOMS Guide to Vaccine Safety Communication - COUNCIL FOR INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS OF MEDICAL SCIENCES. (n.d.). COUNCIL FOR INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS OF MEDICAL SCIENCES. <https://cioms.ch/publications/product/cioms-guide-vaccine-safety-communication/>
- Consortium, E. ça suffit ring vaccination trial. (2015). The ring vaccination trial: a novel cluster randomised controlled trial design to evaluate vaccine efficacy and effectiveness during outbreaks, with special reference to Ebola. *BMJ*, 351. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h3740>

Core protocol for population-based database cohort-studies - DRIVE. https://www.drive-eu.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/DRIVE_D7.2_Core-protocol-for-population-based-database-cohort-studies_V1.1.pdf

Core protocol for test-negative design studies - DRIVE. https://www.drive-eu.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/DRIVE_D7.1.2_Core-protocol-for-test-negative-design-studies.pdf

COVID-19 | European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. ECDC. <https://qap.ecdc.europa.eu/public/extensions/COVID-19/COVID-19.html#global-overview-tab>

COVID-19 Vaccine Safety Surveillance: Active Monitoring Master Protocol - FDA. <https://www.bestinitiative.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/C19-Vaccine-Safety-Protocol-2021.pdf>

Covid-19 vaccines: safety surveillance manual. WHO. <https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/10665338400>

Crowcroft, N. S., & Klein, N. P. (2018). A framework for research on vaccine effectiveness. *Vaccine*, 36(48), 7286–7293. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2018.04.016>

Dagan, N., Barda, N., Kepten, E., Miron, O., Perchik, S., Katz, M. A., et al. (2021). BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine in a Nationwide Mass Vaccination Setting. *New England Journal of Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2101765>

Dashboard Background rates of Adverse Events of Special Interest for COVID-19 vaccines - VAC4EU. <https://vac4eu.org/covid-19-tool/>

De Serres, G., Pilishvili, T., & Link-Gelles, R. (2012). Use of surveillance data to estimate the effectiveness of the 7-valent conjugate pneumococcal vaccine in children less than 5 years of age over a 9 year period. *Vaccine*, 30(27), 4067–4072. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.04.017>

De Vito, C., Manzoli, L., & Marzuillo, C. (2007). A systematic review evaluating the potential for bias and the methodological quality of meta-analyses in vaccinology. *Vaccine*, 25(52), 8794–8806. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2007.10.034>

Dhanda, S., Osborne, V., Lynn, E., & Shakir, S. (2020). Postmarketing studies: can they provide a safety net for COVID-19 vaccines in the UK? *BMJ Evidence-Based Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjebm-2020-111507>

Dieleman, J., Romio, S., Johansen, K., Weibel, D., Bonhoeffer, J., & Sturkenboom, M. (2011). Guillain-Barré syndrome and adjuvanted pandemic influenza A (H1N1) 2009 vaccine: multinational case-control study in Europe. *BMJ*, 343. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.d3908>

Drolet, M., Bénard, É., Pérez, N., Brisson, M., Ali, H., Boily, M.-C., et al. (2019). Population-level impact and herd effects following the introduction of human papillomavirus vaccination programmes: updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet*, 394(10197), 497–509. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)30298-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30298-3)

Dudareva-Vizule, S., Koch, J., Heiden, M. an der, Oberle, D., Keller-Stanislawski, B., & Wichmann, O. (2012). Impact of rotavirus vaccination in regions with low and moderate vaccine uptake in Germany. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics*, 8(10), 1407–1415. <https://doi.org/10.4161/hv.21593>

Effectiveness of rotavirus vaccination – Generic study protocol for retrospective cohort studies based on computerised databases - ECDC. <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/media/en/publications/Publications/rotavirus-vaccination-cohort-study-april2013.pdf>

Escolano, S., Farrington, C. P., Hill, C., & Tubert-Bitter, P. (2011). Intussusception after Rotavirus Vaccination — Spontaneous Reports. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 365(22), 2139–2139. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc1107771>

Escolano, S., Hill, C., & Tubert-Bitter, P. (2013). A New Self-Controlled Case Series Method for Analyzing Spontaneous Reports of Adverse Events After Vaccination. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 178(9), 1496–1504. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwt128>

European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en>

Evaluation of COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness. World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/WHO-2019-nCoV-vaccine_effectiveness-measurement-2021.1

Evans, S. J. W. (2008). Stratification for Spontaneous Report Databases. *Drug Safety*, 31(11), 1049–1052. <https://doi.org/10.2165/00002018-200831110-00009>

Exploring the Feasibility of Conducting Vaccine Effectiveness Studies in Sentinel's PRISM Program - FDA. https://www.sentinelinitiative.org/sites/default/files/Methods/Sentinel_PRISM_Vaccine_Effectiveness_White_Paper.pdf

Farrington, C. P. (2004). Control without separate controls: evaluation of vaccine safety using case-only methods. *Vaccine*, 22(15–16), 2064–2070. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2004.01.017>

Farrington, C. P., Firth, M. J., Moulton, L. H., Ravn, H., Andersen, P. K., Evans, S., & on behalf of the Working Group on Non-specific Effects of Vaccines. (2009). Epidemiological studies of the non-specific effects of vaccines: II – methodological issues in the design and analysis of cohort studies. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*, 14(9), 977–985. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3156.2009.02302.x>

Fell, D. B., Dimitris, M. C., & Hutcheon, J. A. (2021). Guidance for design and analysis of observational studies of fetal and newborn outcomes following COVID-19 vaccination during pregnancy. *Vaccine*, 39(14), 1882–1886. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.02.070>

Fine, P. E. M., Williams, T. N., Aaby, P., Källander, K., Moulton, L. H., Flanagan, K. L., et al. (2009). Epidemiological studies of the 'non-specific effects' of vaccines: I – data collection in observational studies. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*, 14(9), 969–976. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3156.2009.02301.x>

Glanz, J. M., McClure, D. L., Xu, S., Hambidge, S. J., Lee, M., Kolczak, M. S., et al. (2006). Four different study designs to evaluate vaccine safety were equally validated with contrasting limitations. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 59(8), 808–818. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2005.11.012>

Greene, S. K., Kulldorff, M., Lewis, E. M., Li, R., Yin, R., Weintraub, E. S., et al. (2010). Near Real-Time Surveillance for Influenza Vaccine Safety: Proof-of-Concept in the Vaccine Safety Datalink Project. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 171(2), 177–188. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwp345>

Good pharmacovigilance practices. European Medicines Agency. <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/post-authorisation/pharmacovigilance/good-pharmacovigilance-practices>

Haas, E. J., Angulo, F. J., McLaughlin, J. M., Anis, E., Singer, S. R., Khan, F., et al. (2021). Impact and effectiveness of mRNA BNT162b2 vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 infections and COVID-19 cases, hospitalisations, and deaths following a nationwide vaccination campaign in Israel: an observational study using national surveillance data. *The Lancet*, 397(10287), 1819–1829. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)00947-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00947-8)

- Halloran, M. E., Haber, M., Longini, I. M., & Struchiner, C. J. (1991). Direct and Indirect Effects in Vaccine Efficacy and Effectiveness. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 133(4), 323–331. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a115884>
- Halloran, M. E., Longini, I. M., & Struchiner, C. J. (n.d.). *Design and Analysis of Vaccine Studies* | M. Elizabeth Halloran | Springer. <https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9780387403137> Accessed 22 June 2021
- Hanquet, G., Valenciano, M., Simondon, F., & Moren, A. (2013). Vaccine effects and impact of vaccination programmes in post-licensure studies. *Vaccine*, 31(48), 5634–5642. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2013.07.006>
- Heffernan, J. M., & Keeling, M. J. (2009). Implications of vaccination and waning immunity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 276(1664), 2071–2080. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.0057>
- Heininger, U., Holm, K., Caplanusi, I., & Bailey, S. R. (2017). Guide to active vaccine safety surveillance: Report of CIOMS working group on vaccine safety – executive summary. *Vaccine*, 35(32), 3917–3921. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.06.033>
- Hennessy, S., Strom, B. L., Bilker, W. B., Zhengle, L., Chao-Min, W., Hui-Lian, L., et al. (1996). Effectiveness of live-attenuated Japanese encephalitis vaccine (SA14-14-2): a case-control study. *The Lancet*, 347(9015), 1583–1586. <https://doi.org/10.5555/uri:pii:S0140673696910752>
- Hocine, M., Farrington, C., Touzé, E., Whitaker, H., Fourrier, A., Moreau, T., & Tubert-Bitter, P. (2007). Hepatitis B vaccination and first central nervous system demyelinating events: reanalysis of a case-control study using the self-controlled case series method. *Vaccine*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2007.05.032>
- Hviid, A., Svanström, H., Scheller, N. M., Grönlund, O., Pasternak, B., & Arnheim-Dahlström, L. (2018). Human papillomavirus vaccination of adult women and risk of autoimmune and neurological diseases. *Journal of Internal Medicine*, 283(2), 154–165. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joim.12694>
- Impact of rotavirus vaccination - Generic study protocol - ECDC. <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/media/en/publications/Publications/Rotavirus-impact-vaccination-April-2013.pdf>
- Impact of vaccination on household transmission of SARS-COV-2 in England - Public Health England. <https://khub.net/documents/135939561/390853656/Impact+of+vaccination+on+household+transmission+of+SARS-COV-2+in+England.pdf/35bf4bb1-6ade-d3eb-a39e-9c9b25a8122a?t=1619551571214>
- Jackson, M. L., & Nelson, J. C. (2013). The test-negative design for estimating influenza vaccine effectiveness. *Vaccine*, 31(17), 2165–2168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2013.02.053>
- Jefferson, T., Rivetti, D., Rivetti, A., Rudin, M., Pietranonj, C. D., & Demicheli, V. (2005). Efficacy and effectiveness of influenza vaccines in elderly people: a systematic review. *The Lancet*, 366(9492), 1165–1174. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(05\)67339-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67339-4)
- Klein, N. P. (2011). Vaccine safety in special populations. *Human Vaccines*, 7(2), 269–271. <https://doi.org/10.4161/hv.7.2.13860>
- Kulldorff, M., Dashevsky, I., Avery, T. R., Chan, A. K., Davis, R. L., Graham, D., et al. (2013). Drug safety data mining with a tree-based scan statistic. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 22(5), 517–523. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3423>

- Lasky, T., McMahon, A. W., & Hua, W. (2021). Methodologic approaches in studies using real-world data (RWD) to measure pediatric safety and effectiveness of vaccines administered to pregnant women: A scoping review. *Vaccine*, 39(29), 3814–3824. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.05.071>
- Layton, D., & Shakir, S. A. W. (2015). Specialist Cohort Event Monitoring Studies: A New Study Method for Risk Management in Pharmacovigilance. *Drug Safety*, 38(2), 153–163. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-014-0260-x>
- Leino, T., Ollgren, J., & Salo, H. (2012). First year experience of rotavirus immunisation programme in Finland. *Vaccine*, 31(1), 176–182. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.10.068>
- Leite, A., Andrews, N. J., & Thomas, S. L. (2016). Near real-time vaccine safety surveillance using electronic health records—a systematic review of the application of statistical methods. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 25(3), 225–237. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3966>
- Li, X., Ostropolets, A., Makadia, R., Shoaibi, A., Rao, G., Sena, A. G., et al. (2021). Characterising the background incidence rates of adverse events of special interest for covid-19 vaccines in eight countries: multinational network cohort study. *BMJ*, 373. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n1435>
- Lusignan, S. de, Santos, G. D., Correa, A., Haguinet, F., Yonova, I., Lair, F., et al. (2017). Post-authorisation passive enhanced safety surveillance of seasonal influenza vaccines: protocol of a pilot study in England. *BMJ Open*, 7(5), e015469. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-015469>
- Mahaux, O., Bauchau, V., & Van Holle, L. (2016). Pharmacoepidemiological considerations in observed-to-expected analyses for vaccines. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 25(2), 215–222. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3918>
- Marin, M., Marti, M., Kambhampati, A., Jeram, S. M., & Seward, J. F. (2016). Global Varicella Vaccine Effectiveness: A Meta-analysis. *Pediatrics*, 137(3). <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2015-3741>
- Marshall, H. S., McMillan, M., Koehler, A. P., Lawrence, A., Sullivan, T. R., MacLennan, J. M., et al. (2020). Meningococcal B Vaccine and Meningococcal Carriage in Adolescents in Australia. *New England Journal of Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1900236>
- Martín Arias, L. H., Sáinz, M., Treceño, C., & Carvajal, A. (2015). Guillain-Barré syndrome and influenza vaccines: A meta-analysis. *Vaccine*, 33(31), 3773–3778. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.05.013>
- McClure, D. L., Glanz, J. M., & Xu, S. (2008). Comparison of epidemiologic methods for active surveillance of vaccine safety. *Vaccine*, 26(26), 3341–3345. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2008.03.074>
- Menni, C., Klaser, K., May, A., Polidori, L., Capdevila, J., Louca, P., et al. (2021). Vaccine side-effects and SARS-CoV-2 infection after vaccination in users of the COVID Symptom Study app in the UK: a prospective observational study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 0(0). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(21\)00224-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00224-3)
- Miller, E., Andrews, N., Stellitano, L., Stowe, J., Winstone, A. M., Shneerson, J., & Verity, C. (2013). Risk of narcolepsy in children and young people receiving AS03 adjuvanted pandemic A/H1N1 2009 influenza vaccine: retrospective analysis. *BMJ*, 346. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.f794>
- Milman, O., Yelin, I., Aharony, N., Katz, R., Herzog, E., Ben-Tov, A., et al. (2021). Community-level evidence for SARS-CoV-2 vaccine protection of unvaccinated individuals. *Nature Medicine*, 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01407-5>

MODULE 4 – Overview and outcomes - WHO Vaccine Safety Basics. <https://vaccine-safety-training.org/overview-and-outcomes-4.html> Accessed 17 June 2021

Murray, J., & Cohen, A. L. (2017). Infectious Disease Surveillance. International Encyclopedia of Public Health, 222–229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-803678-5.00517-8>

Nelson, J. C., Cook, A. J., Yu, O., Dominguez, C., Zhao, S., Greene, S. K., et al. (2012). Challenges in the design and analysis of sequentially monitored postmarket safety surveillance evaluations using electronic observational health care data. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 21(S1), 62–71. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.2324>

New Self-Controlled Case Series Method for Analyzing Spontaneous Reports of Adverse Events After Vaccination | *American Journal of Epidemiology* | Oxford Academic. <https://academic.oup.com/aje/article/178/9/1496/89072>

Omersel, J., & Karas Kuželički, N. (2020). Vaccinomics and Adversomics in the Era of Precision Medicine: A Review Based on HBV, MMR, HPV, and COVID-19 Vaccines. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 9(11), 3561. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9113561>

Patel, M. M., Jackson, M. L., & Ferdinands, J. (2020). Postlicensure Evaluation of COVID-19 Vaccines. *JAMA*, 324(19), 1939. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.19328>

Pebody, R. (2012). Vaccine registers – experiences from Europe and elsewhere. *Eurosurveillance*, 17(17), 20159. <https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.17.17.20159-en>

Polyzos, K. A., Konstantelias, A. A., Pitsa, C. E., & Falagas, M. E. (2015). Maternal Influenza Vaccination and Risk for Congenital Malformations: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 126(5), 1075–1084. <https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0000000000001068>

Pottegård, A., Lund, L. C., Karlstad, Ø., Dahl, J., Andersen, M., Hallas, J., et al. (2021). Arterial events, venous thromboembolism, thrombocytopenia, and bleeding after vaccination with Oxford-AstraZeneca ChAdOx1-S in Denmark and Norway: population based cohort study. *BMJ*, 373. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n1114>

Protocol_for_Cluster_Investigations_to_Measure_Influenza_Vaccine_Effectiveness - ECDC. (n.d.). https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/media/en/publications/Publications/0912_TED_Protocol_for_Cluster_Investigations_to_Measure_Influenza_Vaccine_Effectiveness.pdf

Rapid assessment of COVID-19 vaccines safety concerns through electronic health records: a protocol template from the ACCESS project - ACCESS. (n.d.). <https://vac4eu.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/3b.Rapid-assessment-of-COVID-19-vaccines-safety-concerns-through-electronic-health-records-a-protocol-template-from-the-ACCESS-project-.pdf> Accessed 21 June 2021

Rasmussen, T. A., Jørgensen, M. R. S., Bjerrum, S., Jensen-Fangel, S., Støvring, H., Østergaard, L., & Søgaard, O. S. (2012). Use of population based background rates of disease to assess vaccine safety in childhood and mass immunisation in Denmark: nationwide population based cohort study. *The BMJ*, 345. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e5823>

Renschmidt, C., Rieck, T., Bödeker, B., & Wichmann, O. (2015). Application of the screening method to monitor influenza vaccine effectiveness among the elderly in Germany. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 15(1), 137. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-015-0882-3>

Renoud, L., Khouri, C., Revol, B., Lepelley, M., Perez, J., Roustit, M., & Cracowski, J.-L. (2021). Association of Facial Paralysis With mRNA COVID-19 Vaccines: A Disproportionality Analysis Using the World Health Organization Pharmacovigilance Database. *JAMA Internal Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2021.2219>

Report of the CIOMS/WHO Working Group on Definition and Application of Terms for Vaccine Pharmacovigilance.

https://www.who.int/vaccine_safety/initiative/tools/CIOMS_report_WG_vaccine.pdf

Rodriguez-Nava, G., Egoryan, G., Trelles-Garcia, D. P., Yanez-Bello, M. A., & Murguia-Fuentes, R. (2021). Disproportionality analysis of anaphylactic reactions after vaccination with messenger RNA coronavirus disease 2019 vaccines in the United States. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology*, 0(0). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2021.04.004>

Rondy, M., Issifou, D., Ibrahim, A. S., Maman, Z., Kadade, G., Omou, H., et al. (2016). Vaccine Effectiveness of Polysaccharide Vaccines Against Clinical Meningitis – Niamey, Niger, June 2015. *PLOS Currents Outbreaks*.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/currents.outbreaks.5d6e9c1d071a2088109c242771b68886>

Rondy, M., Launay, O., Puig-Barberà, J., Gefenaite, G., Castilla, J., Donati, K. de G., et al. (2015). 2012/13 influenza vaccine effectiveness against hospitalised influenza A(H1N1)pdm09, A(H3N2) and B: estimates from a European network of hospitals. *Eurosurveillance*, 20(2), 21011.

<https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES2015.20.2.21011>

Rosillon, D., Willame, C., Tavares Da Silva, F., Guignard, A., Caterina, S., Welby, S., & Struyf, F. (2020). Meta-analysis of the risk of autoimmune thyroiditis, Guillain-Barré syndrome, and inflammatory bowel disease following vaccination with AS04-adjuvanted human papillomavirus 16/18 vaccine. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 29(9), 1159–1167.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.5063>

Salmon, D. A., Proshan, M., Forshee, R., Gargiullo, P., Bleser, W., Burwen, D. R., et al. (2013). Association between Guillain-Barré syndrome and influenza A (H1N1) 2009 monovalent inactivated vaccines in the USA: a meta-analysis. *The Lancet*, 381(9876), 1461–1468.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(12\)62189-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)62189-8)

Seabroke, S., Candore, G., Juhlin, K., Quarcoo, N., Wisniewski, A., Arani, R., et al. (2016). Performance of Stratified and Subgrouped Disproportionality Analyses in Spontaneous Databases. *Drug Safety*, 39(4), 355–364. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-015-0388-3>

Simpson, C. R., Lone, N. I., Kavanagh, K., Ritchie, L. D., Robertson, C., Sheikh, A., & McMenamin, J. (2015). Trivalent inactivated seasonal influenza vaccine effectiveness for the prevention of laboratory-confirmed influenza in a Scottish population 2000 to 2009. *Eurosurveillance*, 20(8), 21043.

<https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES2015.20.8.21043>

Smedt, T. D., Merrall, E., Macina, D., Perez-Vilar, S., Andrews, N., & Bollaerts, K. (2018). Bias due to differential and non-differential disease- and exposure misclassification in studies of vaccine effectiveness. *PLOS ONE*, 13(6), e0199180. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0199180>

Sullivan, S. G., Tchetgen, T. J., E., & Cowling, B. J. (2016). Theoretical Basis of the Test-Negative Study Design for Assessment of Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 184(5), 345–353. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kww064>

Systematic overview of data sources for drug safety in pregnancy research - University of Bath.

http://www.encepp.eu/structure/documents/Data_sources_for_medicines_in_pregnancy_research.pdf

Tavares-Da-Silva, F., Miranda Co, M., & Dessart, C. (2020). Review of the initial post-marketing safety surveillance for the recombinant zoster vaccine. *Vaccine*, 38(18), 3489–3500.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.11.058>

Team, W. H. O. Q. A. and S. of M. (2006). The safety of medicines in public health programmes : pharmacovigilance, an essential tool. World Health Organization.
<https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/43384>

Théophile, H., Moore, N., Robinson, P., Bégau, B., & Pariente, A. (2016). Vaccine Case–Population: A New Method for Vaccine Safety Surveillance. *Drug Safety*, 39(12), 1197–1209.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-016-0449-2>

Tokars, J. I., Lewis, P., DeStefano, F., Wise, M., Viray, M., Morgan, O., et al. (2012). The Risk of Guillain–Barré Syndrome Associated with Influenza A (H1N1) 2009 Monovalent Vaccine and 2009–2010 Seasonal Influenza Vaccines: Results from Self-Controlled Analyses. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 21(5), 546–552. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3220>

Vaccine Effectiveness Simulation. <https://apps.p-95.com/VEMisclassification/>

Vaccine Safety Datalink (VSD) | VSD | Monitoring | Ensuring Safety | Vaccine Safety | CDC. (2020, August 24). CDC. <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccinesafety/ensuringsafety/monitoring/vsd/index.html>

Van Holle, L., & Bauchau, V. (2013). Optimization of a quantitative signal detection algorithm for spontaneous reports of adverse events post immunization. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 22(5), 477–487. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3392>

Vandenbroucke, J. P., & Pearce, N. (2019). Test-Negative Designs: Differences and Commonalities with Other Case–Control Studies with “Other Patient” Controls. *Epidemiology*, 30(6), 838–844.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0000000000001088>

Verani, J. R., Baqui, A. H., & Broome, C. V. (2017a). Case-control vaccine effectiveness studies: Data collection, analysis and reporting results. *Vaccine*, 35(25), 3303–3308.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.04.035>

Verani, J. R., Baqui, A. H., & Broome, C. V. (2017b). Case-control vaccine effectiveness studies: Preparation, design, and enrollment of cases and controls. *Vaccine*, 35(25), 3295–3302.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.04.037>

Wacholder, S., Chen, B. E., Wilcox, A., Macones, G., Gonzalez, P., Befano, B., et al. (2010). Risk of miscarriage with bivalent vaccine against human papillomavirus (HPV) types 16 and 18: pooled analysis of two randomised controlled trials. *BMJ*, 340. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c712>

Whitaker, J. A., Ovsyannikova, I. G., & Poland, G. A. (2015). Adversomics: a new paradigm for vaccine safety and design. *Expert review of vaccines*, 14(7), 935–947.
<https://doi.org/10.1586/14760584.2015.1038249>

WHO Vaccine Safety Basics. (n.d.). WHO. <https://vaccine-safety-training.org/home.html> Accessed 17 June 2021

Wijnans, L., Dodd, C. N., Weibel, D., & Sturkenboom, M. (2017). Bell’s palsy and influenza(H1N1)pdm09 containing vaccines: A self-controlled case series. *PLOS ONE*, 12(5), e0175539.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175539>

Willame, C., Dodd, C., Aa, L. van der, Picelli, G., Emborg, H.-D., Kahlert, J., et al. (2021). Incidence Rates of Autoimmune Diseases in European Healthcare Databases: A Contribution of the ADVANCE Project. *Drug Safety*, 44(3), 383–395. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-020-01031-1>

Wiyeh, A. B., Abdullahi, L. H., Wonkam, A., Wiysonge, C. S., & Kaba, M. (2018). Effects of vaccines in patients with sickle cell disease: a systematic review protocol. *BMJ Open*, 8(3), e021140.
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-021140>

Woo, E. J., Ball, R., Burwen, D. R., & Braun, M. M. (2008). Effects of Stratification on Data Mining in the US Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS). *Drug Safety*, 31(8), 667–674. <https://doi.org/10.2165/00002018-200831080-00003>

World Health. (2017). Evaluation of influenza vaccine effectiveness: a guide to the design and interpretation of observational studies. World Health Organization. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/255203>

Xu, R., Luo, Y., & Chambers, C. (2012). Assessing the effect of vaccine on spontaneous abortion using time-dependent covariates Cox models. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 21(8), 844–850. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3301>

Yanni, E. A., Ferreira, G., Guennec, M., El Hahi, Y., El Ghachi, A., Haguinet, F., et al. (2018). Burden of herpes zoster in 16 selected immunocompromised populations in England: a cohort study in the Clinical Practice Research Datalink 2000–2012. *BMJ Open*, 8(6). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020528>

Yih, W. K., Kulldorff, M., Dashevsky, I., & Maro, J. C. (n.d.). A Broad Safety Assessment of the 9-Valent Human Papillomavirus Vaccine. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwab022>

Yih, W. K., Kulldorff, M., Fireman, B. H., Shui, I. M., Lewis, E. M., Klein, N. P., et al. (2011). Active Surveillance for Adverse Events: The Experience of the Vaccine Safety Datalink Project. *Pediatrics*, 127(Supplement 1), S54–S64. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2010-1722I>

Young-Xu, Y., Thornton Snider, J., & Robertus, van A. (2019). Analysis of relative effectiveness of high-dose versus standard-dose influenza vaccines using an instrumental variable method. *Vaccine*, 37(11), 1484–1490. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.01.063>

Zacay, G., Shasha, D., Bareket, R., Kadim, I., Hershkowitz Sikron, F., Tsamir, J., et al. (n.d.). BNT162b2 vaccine effectiveness in preventing asymptomatic infection with SARS-CoV-2 virus: a nationwide historical cohort study. *Open Forum Infectious Diseases*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofab262>

14.3. Design, implementation and analysis of pharmacogenomic studies

Alshabeeb, M. A., Deneer, V. H. M., Khan, A., & Asselbergs, F. W. (2019). Use of Pharmacogenetic Drugs by the Dutch Population. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.00567>

Benjamin, J. G., & Marylyn, D. R. (2011). Statistical Optimization of Pharmacogenomics Association Studies: Key Considerations from Study Design to Analysis. *Current Pharmacogenomics and Personalized Medicine*. <https://www.eurekaselect.com/95656/article>

Bozkurt, Ö., Verschuren, W. M. M., Wijer, B. M. A. van W., Knol, M. J., Boer, A. de, Grobbee, D. E., et al. (2008). Genetic variation in the renin-angiotensin system modifies the beneficial effects of ACE inhibitors on the risk of diabetes mellitus among hypertensives. *Journal of Human Hypertension*, 22(11), 774–780. <https://doi.org/10.1038/jhh.2008.62>

Carleton, B., Poole, R., Smith, M., Leeder, J., Ghannadan, R., Ross, C., et al. (2009). Adverse drug reaction active surveillance: developing a national network in Canada's children's hospitals. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 18(8), 713–721. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.1772>

Carr, D. F., O'Meara, H., Jorgensen, A. L., Campbell, J., Hobbs, M., McCann, G., et al. (2013). SLCO1B1 Genetic Variant Associated With Statin-Induced Myopathy: A Proof-of-Concept Study Using the Clinical Practice Research Datalink. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 94(6), 695–701. <https://doi.org/10.1038/clpt.2013.161>

- Cavallari, L., Beitelshes, A., Blake, K., Dressler, L., Duarte, J., Elsey, A., et al. (2017). The IGNITE Pharmacogenetics Working Group: An Opportunity for Building Evidence with Pharmacogenetic Implementation in a Real-World Setting. *Clinical and Translational Science*, 10(3), 143–146. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cts.12456>
- Chambliss, A. B., & Chan, D. W. (2016). Precision medicine: from pharmacogenomics to pharmacoproteomics. *Clinical Proteomics*, 13(1), 25. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12014-016-9127-8>
- Chan, H. T., Chin, Y. M., & Low, S.-K. (2019). The Roles of Common Variation and Somatic Mutation in Cancer Pharmacogenomics. *Oncology and Therapy*, 7(1), 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40487-018-0090-6>
- Chaplin, M., Kirkham, J. J., Dwan, K., Sloan, D. J., Davies, G., & Jorgensen, A. L. (2020). STrengthening the Reporting Of Pharmacogenetic Studies: Development of the STROPS guideline. *PLOS Medicine*, 17(9), e1003344. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003344>
- Chen, P., Lin, J.-J., Lu, C.-S., Ong, C.-T., Hsieh, P. F., Yang, C.-C., et al. (2011). Carbamazepine-Induced Toxic Effects and HLA-B*1502 Screening in Taiwan. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 364(12), 1126–1133. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1009717>
- Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium. <https://cpicpgx.org/> Accessed 22 June 2021
- CPNDS | The Canadian Pharmacogenomics Network for Drug Safety. <https://cpnds.ubc.ca/> Accessed 22 June 2021
- Dennis, J., Hawken, S., Krewski, D., Birkett, N., Gheorghe, M., Frei, J., et al. (2011). Bias in the case-only design applied to studies of gene–environment and gene–gene interaction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 40(5), 1329–1341. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyr088>
- DIMITROVA, E. K. (2020, April 30). EMA recommendations on DPD testing prior to treatment with fluorouracil, capecitabine, tegafur and flucytosine. European Medicines Agency. Text. <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/ema-recommendations-dpd-testing-prior-treatment-fluorouracil-capecitabine-tegafur-flucytosine>
- Drews, J. (2000). Drug Discovery: A Historical Perspective. *Science*, 287(5460), 1960–1964. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.287.5460.1960>
- Duconge, J., & Ruaño, G. (2018). Preventing the exacerbation of health disparities by iatrogenic pharmacogenomic applications: lessons from warfarin. *Pharmacogenomics*, 19(11), 875–881. <https://doi.org/10.2217/pgs-2018-0055>
- Ehmann, F., Caneva, L., Prasad, K., Paulmichl, M., Maliepaard, M., Llerena, A., et al. (2015). Pharmacogenomic information in drug labels: European Medicines Agency perspective. *The Pharmacogenomics Journal*, 15(3), 201–210. <https://doi.org/10.1038/tpj.2014.86>
- Evans, D. M., & Davey Smith, G. (2015). Mendelian Randomization: New Applications in the Coming Age of Hypothesis-Free Causality. *Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics*, 16(1), 327–350. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genom-090314-050016>
- Evans, W. E., & Relling, M. V. (1999). Pharmacogenomics: Translating Functional Genomics into Rational Therapeutics. *Science*, 286(5439), 487–491. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.286.5439.487>
- Evans, W. E., & Relling, M. V. (2004). Moving towards individualized medicine with pharmacogenomics. *Nature*, 429(6990), 464–468. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02626>

- Gatto, N. M., Campbell, U. B., Rundle, A. G., & Ahsan, H. (2004). Further development of the case-only design for assessing gene–environment interaction: evaluation of and adjustment for bias. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 33(5), 1014–1024. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyh306>
- Hayney, M. S. (2002). Pharmacogenomics and infectious diseases: Impact on drug response and applications to disease management. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy*, 59(17), 1626–1631. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajhp/59.17.1626>
- Hellwege, J., Keaton, J., Giri, A., Gao, X., Velez Edwards, D. R., & Edwards, T. L. (2017). Population Stratification in Genetic Association Studies. *Current protocols in human genetics*, 95, 1.22.1-1.22.23. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cphg.48>
- Hingorani, A. D., Windt, D. A. van der, Riley, R. D., Abrams, K., Moons, K. G. M., Steyerberg, E. W., et al. (2013). Prognosis research strategy (PROGRESS) 4: Stratified medicine research. *BMJ*, 346. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e5793>
- Implementation of a multidisciplinary pharmacogenomics clinic in a community health system | *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy* | Oxford Academic. <https://academic.oup.com/ajhp/article/73/23/1956/5102145> Accessed 22 June 2021
- Jorgensen, A. L., & Williamson, P. R. (2008). Methodological quality of pharmacogenetic studies: Issues of concern. *Statistics in Medicine*, 27(30), 6547–6569. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.3420>
- Khoury, M. J., & Flanders, W. D. (1996). Nontraditional Epidemiologic Approaches in the Analysis of Gene Environment Interaction: Case-Control Studies with No Controls! *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 144(3), 207–213. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a008915>
- Leitsalu, L., Haller, T., Esko, T., Tammesoo, M.-L., Alavere, H., Snieder, H., et al. (2015). Cohort Profile: Estonian Biobank of the Estonian Genome Center, University of Tartu. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 44(4), 1137–1147. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyt268>
- Liu, Y., Nyunoya, T., Leng, S., Belinsky, S. A., Tesfaigzi, Y., & Bruse, S. (2013). Softwares and methods for estimating genetic ancestry in human populations. *Human Genomics*, 7(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-7364-7-1>
- Mallal, S., Phillips, E., Carosi, G., Molina, J.-M., Workman, C., Tomažič, J., et al. (2008). HLA-B*5701 Screening for Hypersensitivity to Abacavir. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 358(6), 568–579. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0706135>
- McInnes, G., & Altman, R. B. (2020). Drug Response Pharmacogenetics for 200,000 UK Biobank Participants. In *Biocomputing 2021* (Vols. 1-0, pp. 184–195). WORLD SCIENTIFIC. https://doi.org/10.1142/9789811232701_0018
- McInnes, G., Lavertu, A., Sangkuhl, K., Klein, T. E., Whirl-Carrillo, M., & Altman, R. B. (2021). Pharmacogenetics at Scale: An Analysis of the UK Biobank. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 109(6), 1528–1537. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpt.2122>
- Molokhia, M., & McKeigue, P. (2006). EUDRAGENE: European collaboration to establish a case–control DNA collection for studying the genetic basis of adverse drug reactions. *Pharmacogenomics*, 7(4), 633–638. <https://doi.org/10.2217/14622416.7.4.633>
- Pereira, N. L., Farkouh, M. E., So, D., Lennon, R., Geller, N., Mathew, V., et al. (2020). Effect of Genotype-Guided Oral P2Y12 Inhibitor Selection vs Conventional Clopidogrel Therapy on Ischemic Outcomes After Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: The TAILOR-PCI Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*, 324(8), 761. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.12443>

- Peters, B. J. M., Rodin, A. S., De Boer, A., & Maitland-van der Zee, A.-H. (2010). Methodological and statistical issues in pharmacogenomics. *Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*, 62(2), 161–166. <https://doi.org/10.1211/jpp.62.02.0002>
- Peterson, J. F., Field, J. R., Shi, Y., Schildcrout, J. S., Denny, J. C., McGregor, T. L., et al. (2016). Attitudes of clinicians following large-scale pharmacogenomics implementation. *The Pharmacogenomics Journal*, 16(4), 393–398. <https://doi.org/10.1038/tpj.2015.57>
- PharmGKB. (n.d.). PharmGKB. <https://www.pharmgkb.org/>
- Pharmacogenomics Working Party. European Medicines Agency. <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/committees/working-parties-other-groups/chmp/pharmacogenomics-working-party>
- Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M., Rosenberg, N. A., & Donnelly, P. (2000). Association Mapping in Structured Populations. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, 67(1), 170–181. <https://doi.org/10.1086/302959>
- Psaty, B. M. (2002). Diuretic Therapy, the α -Adducin Gene Variant, and the Risk of Myocardial Infarction or Stroke in Persons With Treated Hypertension. *JAMA*, 287(13), 1680. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.287.13.1680>
- Psaty, B. M., & Sitlani, C. (2013). The Cohorts for Heart and Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology (CHARGE) Consortium as a Model of Collaborative Science. *Epidemiology*, 24(3), 346–348. <https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0b013e31828b2cbb>
- Rafi, I., Crinson, I., Dawes, M., Rafi, D., Pirmohamed, M., & Walter, F. M. (2020). The implementation of pharmacogenomics into UK general practice: a qualitative study exploring barriers, challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Community Genetics*, 11(3), 269–277. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12687-020-00468-2>
- Reisberg, S., Krebs, K., Lepamets, M., Kals, M., Mägi, R., Metsalu, K., et al. (2019). Translating genotype data of 44,000 biobank participants into clinical pharmacogenetic recommendations: challenges and solutions. *Genetics in Medicine*, 21(6), 1345–1354. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-018-0337-5>
- Robarge, J. D., Li, L., Desta, Z., Nguyen, A., & Flockhart, D. A. (2007). The Star-Allele Nomenclature: Retooling for Translational Genomics. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 82(3), 244–248. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.clpt.6100284>
- Ross, S., Anand, S. S., Joseph, P., & Paré, G. (2012). Promises and challenges of pharmacogenetics: an overview of study design, methodological and statistical issues. *JRSM Cardiovascular Disease*, 1(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1258/cvd.2012.012001>
- Sissung, T. M., McKeeby, J. W., Patel, J., Lertora, J. J., Kumar, P., Flegel, W. A., et al. (2017). Pharmacogenomics Implementation at the National Institutes of Health Clinical Center. *The Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 57(S10), S67–S77. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcph.993>
- Smit, R. a. j., Noordam, R., le Cessie, S., Trompet, S., & Jukema, J. w. (2018). A critical appraisal of pharmacogenetic inference. *Clinical Genetics*, 93(3), 498–507. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cge.13178>
- Storey, J. D., & Tibshirani, R. (2003). Statistical significance for genomewide studies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 100(16), 9440–9445.

- Sudlow, C., Gallacher, J., Allen, N., Beral, V., Burton, P., Danesh, J., et al. (2015). UK Biobank: An Open Access Resource for Identifying the Causes of a Wide Range of Complex Diseases of Middle and Old Age. *PLOS Medicine*, 12(3), e1001779. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001779>
- Swen, J., Nijenhuis, M., de Boer, A., Grandia, L., Maitland-van der Zee, A., Mulder, H., et al. (2011). Pharmacogenetics: From Bench to Byte— An Update of Guidelines. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 89(5), 662–673. <https://doi.org/10.1038/clpt.2011.34>
- Taylor, C., Crosby, I., Yip, V., Maguire, P., Pirmohamed, M., & Turner, R. M. (2020). A Review of the Important Role of CYP2D6 in Pharmacogenomics. *Genes*, 11(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes11111295>
- Thorn, C. F., Whirl-Carrillo, M., Hachad, H., Johnson, J. A., McDonagh, E. M., Ratain, M. J., et al. (2019). Essential Characteristics of Pharmacogenomics Study Publications. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 105(1), 86–91. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpt.1279>
- van der Baan, F. H., Klungel, O. H., Egberts, A. C., Leufkens, H. G., Grobbee, D. E., Roes, K. C., & Knol, M. J. (2011). Pharmacogenetics in randomized controlled trials: considerations for trial design. *Pharmacogenomics*, 12(10), 1485–1492. <https://doi.org/10.2217/pgs.11.95>
- van der Baan, F. H., Knol, M. J., Klungel, O. H., Egberts, A. C., Grobbee, D. E., & Roes, K. C. (2012). Potential of adaptive clinical trial designs in pharmacogenetic research. *Pharmacogenomics*, 13(5), 571–578. <https://doi.org/10.2217/pgs.12.10>
- van der Wouden, C., Cambon-Thomsen, A., Cecchin, E., Cheung, K., Dávila-Fajardo, C., Deneer, V., et al. (2017). Implementing Pharmacogenomics in Europe: Design and Implementation Strategy of the Ubiquitous Pharmacogenomics Consortium. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 101(3), 341–358. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpt.602>
- van Wieren-de Wijer, D. B., Maitland-van der Zee, A.-H., de Boer, A., Kroon, A. A., de Leeuw, P. W., Schiffers, P., et al. (2009). Interaction between the Gly460Trp α -adducin gene variant and diuretics on the risk of myocardial infarction. *Journal of Hypertension*, 27(1), 61–68. <https://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.0b013e328317a74d>
- Zhang, H., Liu, L., Wang, X., & Gruen, J. (2007). Guideline for data analysis of genomewide association studies. *Cancer genomics & proteomics*. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17726238/>

14.4. Methods for pharmacovigilance impact research

- Banerjee, A. K., Zomerdijk, I. M., Wooder, S., Ingate, S., & Mayall, S. J. (2014). Post-Approval Evaluation of Effectiveness of Risk Minimisation: Methods, Challenges and Interpretation. *Drug Safety*, 37(1), 33–42. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-013-0126-7>
- Bernal, J. L., Cummins, S., & Gasparrini, A. (2017). Interrupted time series regression for the evaluation of public health interventions: a tutorial. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 46(1), 348–355. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyw098>
- Bradley, C. J., Penberthy, L., Devers, K. J., & Holden, D. J. (2010). Health Services Research and Data Linkages: Issues, Methods, and Directions for the Future. *Health Services Research*, 45(5p2), 1468–1488. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6773.2010.01142.x>
- Cohen, A., Rabbani, A., Shah, N., & Alexander, G. C. (2010). Changes in Glitazone Use Among Office-Based Physicians in the U.S., 2003–2009. *Diabetes Care*, 33(4), 823–825. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc09-1834>

Crijns, H. J. M. J., van Rein, N., Gispen-de Wied, C. C., Straus, S. M., & de Jong-van den Berg, L. T. W. (2012). Prescriptive contraceptive use among isotretinoin users in the Netherlands in comparison with non-users: a drug utilisation study. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 21(10), 1060–1066. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3200>

Crijns, I., Mantel-Teeuwisse, A., Bloemberg, R., Pinas, E., Straus, S., & Berg, L. de J. den. (2013). Healthcare professional surveys to investigate the implementation of the isotretinoin Pregnancy Prevention Programme: a descriptive study. *Expert Opinion on Drug Safety*, 12(1), 29–38. <https://doi.org/10.1517/14740338.2013.745850>

Durbin, J., & Watson, G. S. (1950). Testing for Serial Correlation in Least Squares Regression: I. *Biometrika*, 37(3/4), 409–428. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2332391>

Durbin, J., & Watson, G. S. (1951). Testing for Serial Correlation in Least Squares Regression. II. *Biometrika*, 38(1/2), 159–177. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2332325>

Eccles, M., Grimshaw, J., Campbell, M., & Ramsay, C. (2003). Research designs for studies evaluating the effectiveness of change and improvement strategies. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 12(1), 47–52. <https://doi.org/10.1136/qhc.12.1.47>

Eworuke, E., Lee, J.-Y., Soule, L., Popat, V., & Moeny, D. G. (2017). The impact of the boxed warning on the duration of use for depot medroxyprogesterone acetate. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 26(7), 827–836. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4227>

Feldstein, A. C., Smith, D. H., Perrin, N., Yang, X., Rix, M., Raebel, M. A., et al. (2006). Improved Therapeutic Monitoring With Several Interventions: A Randomized Trial. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 166(17), 1848. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.166.17.1848>

Goedecke, T., Morales, D. R., Pacurariu, A., & Kurz, X. (2018). Measuring the impact of medicines regulatory interventions – Systematic review and methodological considerations. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 84(3), 419–433. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.13469>

Good pharmacovigilance practices. European Medicines Agency. <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/post-authorisation/pharmacovigilance/good-pharmacovigilance-practices> Accessed 23 June 2021

Haneuse, S., & Daniels, M. (2016). A General Framework for Considering Selection Bias in EHR-Based Studies: What Data are Observed and Why? *eGEMs (Generating Evidence & Methods to improve patient outcomes)*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.13063/2327-9214.1203>

Hawton, K., Bergen, H., Simkin, S., Dodd, S., Pocock, P., Bernal, W., et al. (2013). Long term effect of reduced pack sizes of paracetamol on poisoning deaths and liver transplant activity in England and Wales: interrupted time series analyses. *BMJ*, 346. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.f403>

Hedenmalm, K., Kurz, X., & Morales, D. (2019). Effect of withdrawal of fusafungine from the market on prescribing of antibiotics and other alternative treatments in Germany: a pharmacovigilance impact study. *European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 75(7), 979–984. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-019-02650-z>

Horton, D. B., Gerhard, T., Davidow, A., & Strom, B. L. (2017). Impact of the black triangle label on prescribing of new drugs in the United Kingdom: lessons for the United States at a time of deregulation. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 26(11), 1307–1313. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4304>

IMI Work Package 5: Benefit –Risk Integration and Visual Representation - PROTECT. (n.d.).
<https://protectbenefitrisk.eu/documents/JuhaerietalBenefitRiskWave1CasestudyreportRimonabantOct2011.pdf> Accessed 23 June 2021

Jordan, S., Gabe-Walters, M. E., Watkins, A., Humphreys, I., Newson, L., Snelgrove, S., & Dennis, M. S. (2015). Nurse-Led Medicines' Monitoring for Patients with Dementia in Care Homes: A Pragmatic Cohort Stepped Wedge Cluster Randomised Trial. *PLOS ONE*, 10(10), e0140203.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0140203>

Jordan, S., Morris, J. K., Davies, G. I., Tucker, D., Thayer, D. S., Luteijn, J. M., et al. (2016). Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor (SSRI) Antidepressants in Pregnancy and Congenital Anomalies: Analysis of Linked Databases in Wales, Norway and Funen, Denmark. *PLOS ONE*, 11(12), e0165122.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0165122>

Kendrick, T., Stuart, B., & Newell, C. (2015). Changes in rates of recorded depression in English primary care 2003–2013: Time trend analyses of effects of the economic recession, and the GP contract quality outcomes framework (QOF). *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 180, 68–78.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2015.03.040>

Kim, H.-J., Fay, M. P., Feuer, E. J., & Midthune, D. N. (2000). Permutation tests for joinpoint regression with applications to cancer rates. *Statistics in Medicine*, 19(3), 335–351.
[https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0258\(20000215\)19:3<335::AID-SIM336>3.0.CO;2-Z](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0258(20000215)19:3<335::AID-SIM336>3.0.CO;2-Z)

Madison, T., Donner, B., Mutter, R., Mingrino, R., & Alvaro, G. (2019). Effectiveness of Risk Minimization Measures to Prevent Pregnancy Exposure to Mycophenolate-Containing Medicines in Europe. *Pharmaceutical Medicine*, 33(5), 395–406. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40290-019-00304-0>

Marston, L., Nazareth, I., Petersen, I., Walters, K., & Osborn, D. P. J. (2014). Prescribing of antipsychotics in UK primary care: a cohort study. *BMJ Open*, 4(12), e006135.
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006135>

Martin, R. M., Wheeler, B. W., Metcalfe, C., & Gunnell, D. (2010). What was the immediate impact on population health of the recent fall in hormone replacement therapy prescribing in England? Ecological study. *Journal of Public Health*, 32(4), 555–564. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdq021>

Mohammad, I., Korkis, B., & Garwood, C. L. (2017). Incorporating Comprehensive Management of Direct Oral Anticoagulants into Anticoagulation Clinics. *Pharmacotherapy: The Journal of Human Pharmacology and Drug Therapy*, 37(10), 1284–1297. <https://doi.org/10.1002/phar.1991>

Morales, D. R., Morant, S. V., MacDonald, T. M., Mackenzie, I. S., Doney, A. S. F., Mitchell, L., et al. (2020). Impact of EMA regulatory label changes on systemic diclofenac initiation, discontinuation, and switching to other pain medicines in Scotland, England, Denmark, and The Netherlands. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 29(3), 296–305. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4955>

Morrato, E. H., Nicol, G. E., Maahs, D., Druss, B. G., Hartung, D. M., Valuck, R. J., et al. (2010). Metabolic Screening in Children Receiving Antipsychotic Drug Treatment. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine*, 164(4). <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpediatrics.2010.48>

Nkeng, L., Cloutier, A.-M., Craig, C., Leloirier, J., & Moride, Y. (2012). Impact of Regulatory Guidances and Drug Regulation on Risk Minimization Interventions in Drug Safety. *Drug Safety*, 35(7), 535–546.
<https://doi.org/10.2165/11599720-000000000-00000>

Nyeland, M. E., Laursen, M. V., & Callréus, T. (2017). Evaluating the effectiveness of risk minimisation measures: the application of a conceptual framework to Danish real-world dabigatran data. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*, 26(6), 607–614. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4203>

Practical Approaches to Risk Minimisation for Medicinal Products: Report of CIOMS Working Group IX - COUNCIL FOR INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS OF MEDICAL SCIENCES. (2014). CIOMS.

<https://cioms.ch/publications/product/practical-approaches-to-risk-minimisation-for-medicinal-products-report-of-cioms-working-group-ix/>

Rubino, A., & Artime, E. (2017). A descriptive review of additional risk minimisation measures applied to EU centrally authorised medicines 2006-2015. *Expert Opinion on Drug Safety*, 16(8), 877–884.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/14740338.2017.1335303>

Shi, L., Zhao, Y., & Szymanski, K. (2011). Impact of thiazolidinedione safety warnings on medication use patterns and glycemic control among veterans with diabetes mellitus. *Journal of Diabetes and its Complications*, 25(3), 143–150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2010.06.003>

Singh, R. R., & Nayak, R. (2016). Impact of FDA Black Box Warning on Psychotropic Drug Use in Noninstitutionalized Elderly Patients Diagnosed With Dementia: A Retrospective Study. *Journal of Pharmacy Practice*, 29(5), 495–502. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0897190015579451>

Sobel, R. E., Blackwell, W., Fram, D. M., & Bate, A. (2019). A Novel Approach to Visualize Risk Minimization Effectiveness: Peeping at the 2012 UK Proton Pump Inhibitor Label Change Using a Rapid Cycle Analysis Tool. *Drug Safety*, 42(11), 1365–1376. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-019-00853-y>

Sultana, J., Fontana, A., Giorgianni, F., Pasqua, A., Cricelli, C., Spina, E., et al. (2016). The Effect of Safety Warnings on Antipsychotic Drug Prescribing in Elderly Persons with Dementia in the United Kingdom and Italy: A Population-Based Study. *CNS Drugs*, 30(11), 1097–1109.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40263-016-0366-z>

Sultana, J., Fontana, A., Giorgianni, F., Tillati, S., Cricelli, C., Pasqua, A., et al. (2019a). Measuring the Effectiveness of Safety Warnings on the Risk of Stroke in Older Antipsychotic Users: A Nationwide Cohort Study in Two Large Electronic Medical Records Databases in the United Kingdom and Italy.

Drug Safety, 42(12), 1471–1485. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-019-00860-z>

Sultana, J., Fontana, A., Giorgianni, F., Tillati, S., Cricelli, C., Pasqua, A., et al. (2019b). Measuring the Effectiveness of Safety Warnings on the Risk of Stroke in Older Antipsychotic Users: A Nationwide Cohort Study in Two Large Electronic Medical Records Databases in the United Kingdom and Italy.

Drug Safety, 42(12), 1471–1485. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-019-00860-z>

THE INTERNATIONAL MARCÉ SOCIETY FOR PERINATAL MENTAL HEALTH BIENNIAL SCIENTIFIC CONFERENCE. (2015). *Archives of Women's Mental Health*, 18(2), 269–408.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00737-014-0488-6>

The limitations of some European healthcare databases for monitoring the effectiveness of pregnancy prevention programmes as risk minimisation measures | SpringerLink.

<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs00228-017-2398-9>

Thompson, C. A., Kurian, A. W., & Luft, H. S. (2015). Linking Electronic Health Records to Better Understand Breast Cancer Patient Pathways Within and Between Two Health Systems. *eGEMs (Generating Evidence & Methods to improve patient outcomes)*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.13063/2327-9214.1127>

Torun, P., Heller, R. F., & Verma, A. (2009). Potential population impact of changes in heroin treatment and smoking prevalence rates: using Population Impact Measures. *European Journal of Public Health*, 19(1), 28–31. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckn103>

Valuck, R. J., Libby, A. M., Orton, H. D., Morrato, E. H., Allen, R., & Baldessarini, R. J. (2007). Spillover Effects on Treatment of Adult Depression in Primary Care After FDA Advisory on Risk of Pediatric

Suicidality With SSRIs. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 164(8), 1198–1205.

<https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2007.07010007>

Van Ganse, E., Belhassen, M., Ginoux, M., Chrétien, E., Cornu, C., Ecoffey, C., & Aubrun, F. (2018). Use of analgesics in France, following dextropropoxyphene withdrawal. *BMC Health Services Research*, 18(1), 231. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-018-3058-1>

van Hunsel, F., Gardarsdottir, H., de Boer, A., & Kant, A. (2019). Measuring the impact of pharmacovigilance activities, challenging but important. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 85(10), 2235–2237. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.14042>

Weatherburn, C. J., Guthrie, B., Dreischulte, T., & Morales, D. R. (2020). Impact of medicines regulatory risk communications in the UK on prescribing and clinical outcomes: Systematic review, time series analysis and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 86(4), 698–710. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.14104>

Yarrington, M. E., Anderson, D. J., Ashley, E. D., Jones, T., Davis, A., Johnson, M., et al. (2019). Impact of FDA black box warning on fluoroquinolone and alternative antibiotic use in southeastern US hospitals. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 40(11), 1297–1300. <https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2019.247>

Zipitis, C. S., Mughal, Z. M., & Clayton, P. E. (2016). Assessing the population impact of low rates of vitamin D supplementation on type 1 diabetes using a new statistical method. *JRSM Open*, 7(11), 2054270416653522. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2054270416653522>

Zomerdijk, I. M., Ruiters, R., Houweling, L. M. A., Herings, R. M. C., Sturkenboom, M. C. J. M., Straus, S. M. J. M., & Stricker, B. H. (2014). Isotretinoin exposure during pregnancy: a population-based study in The Netherlands. *BMJ Open*, 4(11), e005602. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005602>