



Checklist of the ENCePP Code of Conduct for ENCePP Seal studies¹

The purpose of this checklist is to emphasize the core elements of the ENCePP Code of Conduct that are relevant at the time of study start. The act of completing this checklist confirms that the study for which the ENCePP Seal is applied for complies – at the time of submission - with the key requirements of the Code. Of note, completion of the checklist does not release researchers of ENCePP Seal studies from their obligation to adhere to the entirety of the provisions of the Code.

The checklist must be completed by the (primary) lead investigator of the study for which the ENCePP Seal is applied for. The (primary) lead investigator must:

- Tick all boxes of each section thereby confirming compliance of the study with the core requirements of the Code. In case of sub-sections (e.g. 2.A. and 2.B.), tick all boxes of the sub-section that applies to your study.
- If applicable, provide additional information as requested.
- Sign the checklist.

The undersigned declares upon honour the following answers on behalf of the organisation that he/she represents. Signature should be by the (primary) lead investigator.

1. General	Check
The study has been designed	
➤ in line with the general principles outlined in the Code (see chapter 5 of the Code), and	<input type="checkbox"/>
➤ providing for a maximum level of transparency (see chapter 4 of the Code).	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Research contract	Check
2.A. Studies financed purely from one's own general resources (100% self-funded)	
A declaration on the use of one's own general resources, making clear references to the study and the (primary) lead investigator and being signed by (an) authorised representative(s) of the participating study entity/ies is available.	<input type="checkbox"/>

¹ Complete the Checklist on screen, then print, sign and stamp it (if applicable).

4. Study protocol	Check
A full study protocol ⁴ has been developed before study start.	<input type="checkbox"/>
The latest version of the full study protocol is uploaded to the EU PAS Register ⁵ .	<input type="checkbox"/>
A system is in place to allow for documentation of changes to the original version of the study protocol in a traceable and auditable way.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Information on all parties involved in the writing and adoption of the protocol including a brief description of their contribution is being made publicly available.	<input type="checkbox"/>
A detailed statistical analysis plan is described and included in or annexed to the study protocol.	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Intellectual property rights and sharing of data	Check
A system has been put in place in order to record the data collected and processed in the study in a way that allows corroboration of published results.	<input type="checkbox"/>
A detailed description of how raw data were transformed into the data set for analysis will be available at the end of the study.	<input type="checkbox"/>
All possible steps to provide for audits by competent authorities will be taken.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Appropriate plans and agreements, if necessary, are being or have been made to respond to requests for data sharing in line with the <i>Implementation Guidance on Sharing of ENCePP Study Data</i> (Annex 4).	<input type="checkbox"/>
A procedure for access to the analytical data is described in, or annexed to, the study protocol including the degree to which data can be shared and, if access is restricted, a justification why access is limited. Please indicate the page number in the study protocol:	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Declaration of interest	Check
Declarations of interests of all parties involved in the conduct of the study are documented and be made public (including members of the study steering group, if such group is being established).	<input type="checkbox"/>
All persons with a financial interest in a particular outcome of the study are excluded from participation from any study activity which could influence the results or interpretation thereof in a particular direction.	<input type="checkbox"/>

⁴ For the purpose of the Code of Conduct, a *full* study protocol is a version of the protocol which includes enough detail in order to answer all questions in the *ENCePP checklist for Study Protocols*. The *Checklist* is available at http://www.encepp.eu/encepp_studies/documents/ENCePPChecklistforStudyProtocols.doc.

⁵ When uploading the protocol in the EU PAS Register, it may not be immediately accessible to the public unless the (primary) lead investigator so chooses.

7. Study Steering Group	Check
7.A. Absence of a Study Steering Group	
Please check here if no Steering Group is foreseen for the study.	<input type="checkbox"/>
7.B. Establishment of Study Steering Group foreseen	
No expert with a direct conflict of interest is appointed as a member of the Steering Group	<input type="checkbox"/>
The composition of the Steering Group is being/will be made publicly available.	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Publication/Reporting of studies	Check
<p>Appropriate plans and agreements, if necessary, have been made (e.g. as part of the dissemination and communication policy) ensuring publication of results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ including results from prematurely terminated studies. <input type="checkbox"/> ➤ independent of statistical significance and whether the results are positive or negative. <input type="checkbox"/> ➤ in form of a clear summary of the main results. <input type="checkbox"/> ➤ in form of an abstract to be provided to the ENCePP Secretariat within 3 months after the final study report. (Note that requests for delays are possible pending response to peer-review comments). <input type="checkbox"/> ➤ in form of a full report of all results with a scientific or public health impact without delay (taking into account relevant legal provisions in case of a suspected public health impact). <input type="checkbox"/> ➤ independently by the (principal) lead investigator irrespective of data ownership. <input type="checkbox"/> ➤ providing for the possibility of review by the study funder prior to submission – but without unjustified delay. <input type="checkbox"/> ➤ considering comments from the study funder and enabling the study funder to request changes to the presentation of the results to delete confidential information. <input type="checkbox"/> ➤ making publicly available comments of the funder. <input type="checkbox"/> ➤ taking into account the provisions for authorship of the Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (2009). <input type="checkbox"/> 	
9. Confidential information	Check
A definition of what constitutes confidential information has been agreed between the parties of the research contract.	<input type="checkbox"/>

The definition of confidential information does not consider data and results as being confidential except in relation to relevant data privacy laws.

Name of the coordinating study entity: _____

Name of (primary) lead investigator: _____

Date: _____ (dd/mm/yyyy)

Signature: _____

Stamp (if applicable):